946

Before the

UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD

Library of Congress

Washington, D.C.

: Docket No. In Re:

: 15-CRB-0001-WR

Determination of Royalty : (2016-2020)
Rates and Terms for : Volume 4-PUBLIC
Ephemeral Recording and : Pages 946-979
Digital Performance of : Pages 1092-1118
Sound Recordings (Web IV) : Pages 1155-1159 ----: Pages 1193-1204

PUBLIC SESSION

Washington, D.C.

Thursday, April 30, 2015

The hearing in the above-entitled matter was convened at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES:

SUZANNE M. BARNETT, CHIEF JUDGE

DAVID R. STRICKLER, JUDGE

JESSE FEDER, JUDGE

947	
APPEARANCES	1 ALSO PRESENT
	For SoundExchange:
On behalf of SoundExchange	2 Kelly Klaus, Melinda LeMoine, Martha
MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON, LLP	Larraondo-Klipper, Rose Ehler, Anjan Choudhury,
GLENN POMERANTZ, ESQUIRE 355 South Grand Avenue, 3rd Floor	3 Colin Rushing, Jonathan Blavin, Jennifer Bryant,
Los Angeles, California 90071	Kuruvilla Olasa, Rachel June Draper
5 213-683-9107	4
On behalf of GEO Music:	For SiriusXM:
GEORGE JOHNSON	5 Jackson Toof, Martin Cunniff, Patrick Donnelly,
7 GEORGE JOHNSON MUSIC PUBLISHING 23 Music Square East, Suite 204	Cynthia Greer
8 Nashville, Tennessee 37203	6
615-242-9999	For NPR:
9	7 Joseph Wetzel, Ethan Davis, Antonio Lewis, Gregory
On behalf of Pandora Media, Inc.	Lewis
WEIL GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP	8
BRUCE RICH, ESQUIRE 1 767 Fifth Avenue	For Pandora;
1 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153	9 Todd Larson, Christopher Harrison, Benjamin Marks,
2 212-310-8000	David Yolkut, Elisabeth Sperle
On behalf of National Association of Broadcasters:	10
WILEY REIN, LLP	For iHeartMedia.
BRUCE G. JOSEPH, ESQUIRE	11 John Thorne, Tres Williams, Rob Wells, Donna
1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006	Schneider, Evan Leo, Kevin Miller, Scott
202-719-7453	12 Angstreich, Caitlin Hall, Leslie Pope
)	13 For NAB:
On behalf of iHeartMedia, Inc.	Michael Sturm, Jennifer Elgin, Suzanne Head,
7 KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD,	14 Jillian Volkmar
EVANS & FIGEL, PLLC	15
MARK HANSEN, ESQUIRE 1615 M Street, N W	Bonnie L. Russo, Capital Reporting Company
Suite 400	16
Washington, D C. 20036	17
202-326-7992	18
On behalf of StriusXM Radio:	19
ARENT FOX, LLP PAUL FAKLER, ESQUIRE	20
PAUL FAKLER, ESQUIRE 1675 Broadway	21
New York, New York 10019	22
212-484-3900	Loo
	23
	24
948	24
948	24
948 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	24 25 1 CONTENTS
948 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio:	1 CONTENTS 2 EXAMINATION OF DIR CROSS RED REC
948 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP	24 25 1 CONTENTS
948 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE	1 CONTENTS 2 EXAMINATION OF DIR CROSS RED REC
948 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street	1 CONTENTS 2 EXAMINATION OF DIR CROSS RED REC AARON HARRISON
948 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street	24 25 1 CONTENTS 2 EXAMINATION OF DIR CROSS RED REC AARON HARRISON' 3 BY MS. EHLER 952 1172
948 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105	24 25 1 CONTENTS 2 EXAMINATION OF DIR CROSS RED REC AARON HARRISON' 3 BY MS. EHLER 952 1172 BY MR. MALONE 1168
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and	24 25 1 CONTENTS 2 EXAMINATION OF DIR CROSS RED REC AARON HARRISON' 3 BY MS. EHLER 952 1172 BY MR. MALONE 1168 4 BY MR. STURM 1087 1188
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio:	24 25 1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE	24 25 1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green	1 CONTENTS 2 EXAMINATION OF DIR CROSS RED REC AARON HARRISON 3 BY MS. EHLER 952 1172 BY MR. MALONE 1168 4 BY MR. STURM 1087 1188 BY MR. LARSON 1107 5 BY MR. THORNE 1155 1189
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street	24 25 1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840	1 CONTENTS 2 EXAMINATION OF DIR CROSS RED REC AARON HARRISON 3 BY MS. EHLER 952 1172 BY MR. MALONE 1168 4 BY MR. STURM 1087 J188 BY MR. LARSON 1107 5 BY MR. THORNE 1155 1189 6 EXAMINATION OF DIR CROSS RED REC 7 SIMON WHEELER 8 BY MR. CHOUDHURY 1193
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters:	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYIN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W.	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILLY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20006 202-719-7008	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation:	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D, OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W.	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canana, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141 On behalf of College Broadcasters.	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141 On behalf of College Broadcasters.	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141 On behalf of College Broadcasters. CONSTANTINE CANNON DAVID GOLDEN, ESQUIRE	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141 On behalf of College Broadcasters. CONSTANTINE CANNON DAVID GOLDEN, ESQUIRE 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141 On behalf of College Broadcasters. CONSTANTINE CANNON DAVID GOLDEN, ESQUIRE 1001 Pennsylvana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 202-204-4527	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141 On behalf of College Broadcasters. CONSTANTINE CANNON DAVID GOLDEN, ESQUIRE 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 202-204-4527	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141 On behalf of College Broadcasters. CONSTANTINE CANNON DAVID GOLDEN, ESQUIRE 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 202-204-4527	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D. OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141 On behalf of College Broadcasters. CONSTANTINE CANNON DAVID GOLDEN, ESQUIRE 1001 Pemsylvana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 202-204-4527	1
APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): On behalf of National Public Radio: KING & SPALDING, LLP KENNETH L. STEINTHAL, ESQUIRE 101 Second Street Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-318-1211 On behalf of Intercollegiate Broadcasting and Harvard Radio: WILLIAM MALONE, ESQUIRE 40 Cobbler's Green 205 Main Street New Canaan, Connecticut 06840 203-966-4770 On behalf of National Religious Broadcasters: WILEY REIN, LLP KARYN ABLIN, ESQUIRE 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 202-719-7008 On behalf of Educational Media Foundation: DAVID D, OXENFORD, ESQUIRE WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20037 202-783-4141 On behalf of College Broadcasters. CONSTANTINE CANNON DAVID GOLDEN, ESQUIRE 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 202-204-4527	1

	951		
1	PROCEEDINGS	1	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: I'm sorry, Ms.
2		2	Ehler.
3	(PUBLIC SESSION)	3	Mr. Harrison, your the spelling of
4		4	your name is traditional, two As?
5	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning.	5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	Please be seated.	6	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: And S-O-N?
7	I'm sorry for the delay. We were	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	waiting for Judge Strickler to finish his	8	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.
9	monologue. His morning monologue.	9	MS. EHLER: Two Rs.
10	JUDGE STRICKLER: But serious.	10	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Two Rs. Okay.
11	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Mr. Pomerantz, I	11	In which one?
12	,	12	THE WITNESS: In Harrison.
13	MR. POMERANTZ: It is in Ms. Ehler's	13	MS. EHLER: In Harrison. Two Rs in
	court.	1	Harrison.
15	MS. EHLER: Good morning, Your Honor.	15	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.
16	Rose Ehler representing SoundExchange.	16	THE WITNESS: I have seen it all ways.
	I would like to call our first witness, Aaron	17	BY MS. EHLER:
	Harrison, from Universal Music Group.	18	Q. How many deals have you negotiated, Mr.
19	MR. RICH: Your Honor, while I witness		Harrison?
	is coming I want to introduce a new face at our	$\begin{vmatrix} 20\\21 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Over a hundred during the course of my time at Universal.
!1 !2	table. Steve Benet is the general counsel of Pandora Media.	22	
23			Q. If you open your binder to the first tab, which is marked SoundExchange Exhibit 10, can
J	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Good morning.		_
2.4			
	AARON HARRISON, being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the	25	you tell me what that is? A. Yes.
25	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as	25	A. Yes.
25	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:	25	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case.
25	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR	25 1 2 3	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony?
1 2 3	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE	1 2 3 4	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER:	1 2 3 4 5	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for
1 2 3 4 5	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the	1 2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true?
1 2 3 4 5 6	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being first duly sworn, to tell the truth, the 952 whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using
123 456789012345	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there? A. Senior vice president of business and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using as the basis for those numbers. One of the numbers
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there? A. Senior vice president of business and legal affairs.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using as the basis for those numbers. One of the numbers is correct, but the other two were from, actually,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 6 1 7	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there? A. Senior vice president of business and legal affairs. Q. What group are you in?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using as the basis for those numbers. One of the numbers
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there? A. Senior vice president of business and legal affairs. Q. What group are you in? A. Logo digital business.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using as the basis for those numbers. One of the numbers is correct, but the other two were from, actually, a different line in the chart. Q. And what was the switch?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there? A. Senior vice president of business and legal affairs. Q. What group are you in? A. Logo digital business. Q. What are your responsibilities as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using as the basis for those numbers. One of the numbers is correct, but the other two were from, actually, a different line in the chart. Q. And what was the switch? A. So I accidentally switched the numbers
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there? A. Senior vice president of business and legal affairs. Q. What group are you in? A. Logo digital business. Q. What are your responsibilities as senior vice president?	1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using as the basis for those numbers. One of the numbers is correct, but the other two were from, actually, a different line in the chart. Q. And what was the switch?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 6 17 18 19 20 1	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there? A. Senior vice president of business and legal affairs. Q. What group are you in? A. Logo digital business. Q. What are your responsibilities as senior vice president? A. As senior vice president, I structure,	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using as the basis for those numbers. One of the numbers is correct, but the other two were from, actually, a different line in the chart. Q. And what was the switch? A. So I accidentally switched the numbers for Uruguay in place of the numbers for the United
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there? A. Senior vice president of business and legal affairs. Q. What group are you in? A. Logo digital business. Q. What are your responsibilities as senior vice president, I structure, negotiate and draft agreements with various digital music services that use the entire repertoire of Universal Music Group, and we also advise the	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using as the basis for those numbers. One of the numbers is correct, but the other two were from, actually, a different line in the chart. Q. And what was the switch? A. So I accidentally switched the numbers for Uruguay in place of the numbers for the United States.
1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 3 14 15 16 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 22 2	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR SOUNDEXCHANGE BY MS. EHLER: Q. Can you please state your name for the record. A. Aaron Harrison. Q. And where do you work? A. Universal Music Group. Q. How long have you worked for Universal Music Group? A. Close to ten years. Q. What's your position there? A. Senior vice president of business and legal affairs. Q. What group are you in? A. Logo digital business. Q. What are your responsibilities as senior vice president? A. As senior vice president, I structure, negotiate and draft agreements with various digital music services that use the entire repertoire of	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	This is my direct testimony in this case. Q. Did you author that testimony? A. Yes. Q. Have you had a chance to review it for any inaccuracies or confirm it's true? A. Yes. I did find one error. Q. Without revealing any restricted information, can you generally describe what that error is? And I believe for everybody's benefit, it's on Paragraph 39. A. Yes. So I accidentally mistranscribed a couple of the numbers from a chart that I was using as the basis for those numbers. One of the numbers is correct, but the other two were from, actually, a different line in the chart. Q. And what was the switch? A. So I accidentally switched the numbers for Uruguay in place of the numbers for the United States. Q. So if everybody wants to know the

955 957 That's what they are. Mr. Harrison, in your written But for the United States, we'll cover 2 Q. 2 testimony, you describe a change from an ownership 3 them again later? 3 model to an access model. 4 Right. What do you mean by that? 5 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Which table is 5 So, historically, the way consumers 6 that? 6 have acquired music has been through purchase on an 7 MS. EHLER: It's actually in Paragraph 7 a la carte basis, first through vinyl records, 8 39, they're the last two numbers in that paragraph. through cassettes and CDs, and then most recently 9 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. permanent downloads. But we have seen a shift over 10 MS. EHLER: They're restricted. 10 the last few years from that one-by-one a la carte 11 BY MS. EHLER: purchase model where you're listening from a copy Aside from those two numbers, is 12 12 that's directly on your device, whether that's a 13 everything else true and correct in your testimony? 13 stereo, or a phone or a computer to an access model 14 A. Yes. 14 where listeners are consuming music, accessing it 15 JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me, Counsel, 15 from the cloud; meaning from remote servers 16 did you say Uruguay? 16 provided by the digital service as opposed to local MS. EHLER: The two numbers are from a 17 17 storage on the user's device. 18 rate card, and it's a line for Uruguay was right 18 O. And ---19 below the line for the United States. So even 19 JUDGE STRICKLER: Quick question for 20 though they're --20 you. The CDs were -- before we moved into the 21 JUDGE STRICKLER: Oh. 21 digital era, were CDs predominantly only available 22 MS. EHLER: -- they're quoted as the 22 in album form as opposed to singles form? 23 THE WITNESS: Well, there were CD United States numbers, they're actually the Uruguay 23 24 numbers. 24 singles, but CD singles fell dramatically over the 25 THE WITNESS: It was an alphabetical 25 life of the CD format. And by the, you know, the 956 958 1 chart, so I just went one line below it. 1 mid to late '90s, they weren't really sold very 2 BY MS. EHLER: 2 much. Actually, I think the -- I remember physical 3 Q. So with that correction, is your 3 retailers complaining that there weren't enough 4 testimony true and correct? 4 physical -- sorry -- CD singles to sell because 5 A. Yes. 5 most of them were just available -- the song was 6 MS, EHLER: I would like to offer into 6 only available in album form. evidence Exhibit 10, which is Mr. Harrison's JUDGE STRICKLER: Was that a record 8 testimony with that correction. 8 industry determination, or your company's 9 MR. LARSON: No objection. determination to sell out CD albums, rather than CD 10 MR. FAKLER: No objection. 10 singles? 11 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Exhibit 10 is 11 THE WITNESS: I wasn't at Universal 12 admitted. It is a restricted document. 12 during that time, so I can't speak to the intent or 13 (SoundExhange Exhibit No. 10 was what was going on at that time in the industry. 14 admitted into evidence.) 14 JUDGE STRICKLER: But to the extent 15 JUDGE STRICKLER: The one that you 15 that CDs were sold in album form as opposed to 16 submitted is the change from the one that you 16 single form, that wasn't quite the same as an -originally received and it corrects that Uruguay 17 17 pure a la carte because it was a bundling. You had 18 mistake? 18 to buy the songs from one artist, all of the songs 19 MS. EHLER: No. The one you have still 19 on the album, rather than an a la carte purchase of 20 has Uruguay mistakes. 20 an individual recording by an individual artist, 21 JUDGE STRICKLER: He's going to fix it 21 correct? 22 22 in his restricted testimony? THE WITNESS: Right. 23 MS. EHLER: He's going to fix it in his 23 What I mean by a la carte is just a 24 restricted testimony. purchase of an individual product on a one-by-one 25 BY MS. EHLER: 25 basis, whether that product is an album or a track

959 961 1 that even in a -- in a download store now, you can 1 and physical sales as the second most important 2 purchase a track or you can purchase an album, but 2 revenue channel right after download sales. 3 we consider that entire download store to be an a 3 JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, when you 4 la carte download store because you're buying an 4 refer to streaming being that important, are you item one or one-by-one basis; whereas, in a cloud distinguishing in your answer between interactive 6 service or a streaming service, generally the whole and noninteractive? 7 catalog of music is available to you, with some THE WITNESS: No. Streaming as a whole. exceptions, and you can just decide what you want 8 9 to play at any particular time. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 10 JUDGE STRICKLER: So when the sale of 10 BY MS. EHLER: CD albums, you had a la carte bundles, so-to-speak, 11 11 And how does that change in the 12 bundles from an artist? 12 importance of streaming as a source of revenue 13 THE WITNESS: Correct. That's correct. impact Universal's ability to achieve a return on 14 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 14 its investment in sound recordings? 15 BY MS. EHLER: 15 A. Well, it means that streaming is a much 16 O. How has that shift from ownership or 16 bigger factor in how we analyze our return on 17 the a la carte model to these access services 17 investment and also the profits that we're able to changed your approach to digital licensing? 18 make in music and in media music sales and music 19 Well, it's changed it quite a bit. So 19 exploitation that we can then reinvest back into 20 the record industry in the past was primarily 20 new music creation, whether that's in signing 21 focused on units and a charge for it can be an end artists, spending the time to develop them to get 22 all be all to the labels. They would charge for --22 them ready to release music and then recording that 23 they would clamor for a chart position. They 23 music and marketing and distributing it. 24 wanted to make sure they were number one in the 24 Has there been as much revenue to do 25 charts, and units were really the focus of the 25 that since this transition? 960 962 1 labels and the marketing folks. A. No. I mean, revenues have fallen, you Now in a digital world, and as we're 2 know, ever since I have been at the company. 3 moving away from -- the consumers are moving away They've somewhat stabilized over the last three or

4 from download sales and more towards an access

5 model, we have to focus more on revenue. And so

6 the two things that we're most concerned about are

7 growing the number of users that are consuming

8 music, and that actually has been fairly

9 successful. I think consumption is up, generally,

10 in the music industry.

But the second prong is the more 12 challenging one, and that's generating more revenue

13 per each user. So we've changed our -- our metric

14 that we're most concerned about from units to ARPU

15 or average revenue per user.

Q. And has the importance of revenue fromstreaming services changed over that period oftime?

io illie:

19 A. It's changed greatly. When I started 20 at Universal in 2005, streaming was considered a

21 niche product and the ancillary revenue stream that

22 wasn't considered much by the labels. I think it

23 was only about 3 percent of the -- of Universal's

24 revenue. But now it's very significant revenue

25 stream. It's actually recently surpassed CD sales

4 four years, but at a much lower level, and I think

5 the last I saw the -- the industry as a whole was,

6 you know flat to down maybe a half a percent in

o you know flat to down maybe a half a percent in 7 2014.

8 JUDGE STRICKLER: How do you think it

9 has stabilized after falling for the revenue so to

10 speak?

17

11 THE WITNESS: Well, I think part of it

12 is that we are more aggressively moving towards

13 that, that shift into the access model and trying

14 to, you know, support as many subscription services

15 as possible to help grow those services. So, you

16 know, Spotify has, in particular, really --

BY MS. EHLER:

18 O. I don't want you to get into restricted

19 information. If you -- if your answer wasn't going

20 to, please go ahead. I'm sorry.

21 A. Okay. Yeah, I mean -- so I think we

22 have done a good job as an industry adapting to the

23 new ways that consumers are acquiring music and

24 providing them with that music whenever and

25 wherever they want it. So even though certain

963 965 1 sales channels have fallen, we've been able to 1 to provide enough value and at a low enough 2 shift to other sales channels. So while the mix of 2 perceived price that the consumer is willing to 3 music consumption, how music is acquired, how it's 3 migrate from that free use of music to a paid use 4 paid for, and what revenues generate from it, we're of music 5 able to adapt and kind of adjust to that mix to 5 JUDGE STRICKLER: When you talk about 6 this migration, are you talking about from an 6 maximize the revenue that we're bringing from --7 from the way that music is made available to 7 ad-supported legal service to a subscription-based consumers. 8 legal service? 9 JUDGE STRICKLER: Those shifts, as far 9 THE WITNESS: That's one of the ways. 10 as you know, reduce the desire for the consumers to 10 Or it could be from another service that they're 11 already using that is not -- that has no upsell to 11 buy pirates as opposed to or access music to 12 pay, but the subscription service could offer a 12 pirates as opposed to accessing music from legal 13 services? 13 free trial, a 7-day, 15-day, 30-day free trial to 14 THE WITNESS: We hope so, and that's 14 be able to try all of the features of the service 15 definitely the goal. You know, we've spent the 15 without any, you know, conditions, and hopefully 16 last several years on the one hand trying to fight 16 after they get into the service and they experience 17 against illegitimate services like Casale, Limewire 17 it for a while, they will decide to continue to and Pirate Bay. But, then, on the other hand, 18 start paying for the subscription once the trial 19 trying to legitimize services that have, in the 19 period is over. past, used our music in unlicensed ways, like 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: Is one of the ways 21 iMesh, for instance. 21 you also try to stabilize revenues -- was one of 22 So we've -- I think we've done a good 22 the ways to try to get consumers or listeners, I 23 should say, to migrate from terrestrial radio to 23 job at reducing the instance of piracy and we have 24 limited it to kind of a hard core pirate user. But 24 some sort of a service that generated royalties? 25 THE WITNESS: No. We haven't focused 25 we're never really going to change. There's always

964

1 going to be some segment of the population that is 2 going to pirate music, and we can't do anything to

3 necessarily stop those hard core users, but we're

4 working hard to provide as many legal alternatives

5 as possible so that the users who want to get music

6 in a convenient and easy way, we provided them many

7 options for that.

8 JUDGE STRICKLER: And was one of the 9 goals to reduce the price of the legal services so 10 piracy didn't seem so attractive -- relatively

11 attractive from an economic point of view?

12 THE WITNESS: Yes. I mean, we've --

13 all of the legitimate services have to compete with

14 free, and that's the primary, I think, control or

14 nee, and mars me primary, I mink, control of

15 restrictions that they have to face. They -- each

16 of the subscription services has to realize that,

17 you know, a consumer can go on to the app store and

18 get a multitude of free services instead of getting

19 one of the paid subscription services. So they

20 need to, one, have a lot of ways to get users into

21 the service to try it on a free basis for some

22 period of time, whether that's a trial period or

23 whether that's a free tier with an upsell to

24 subscription, but they have to get those consumers

25 in the door in the first place, and then they have

1 on terrestrial radio at all in terms of migration

2 of possibility. We think -- I mean, looking at the

3 statistics, almost everybody in the U.S. listens to

4 radio. That's just one of the ways that they

5 listen to music. And we don't think of terrestrial

6 as much as an end-product consumption. It

7 certainly is for a certain number of users that

8 just aren't interested in being music buyers at

9 all. They just turn it on in the car and that's

10 it.

11 But for people that we think of as

12 music consumers, who are accessing music outside

13 the carpet, also, on their phones, at home, and

14 other places for listening entertainment purposes.

15 But we don't really see terrestrial radio as a

16 competitor or some -- something where we need to

17 migrate from terrestrial to subscription.

18 Terrestrial radio, I think, is seen

19 more as a platform where we can break artists and

0 get the DJs to, you know, pump up those artists, do

21 interviews with those artists, and talk about new

22 album release, so hopefully they migrate from

23 terrestrial radio to actually purchasing the album

24 on an a la carte -- based, you know, on individual

25 one-by-one purchase basis.

967 969 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 1 telecommunications companies look at how much money 2 BY MS. EHLER: 2 they're making per subscriber, and so we need to 3 Q. Just following up on one point you were 3 look at the world in the same way to gauge the making, how does YouTube fit into the consumer success of our business. 5 landscape? 5 JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you have to look 6 at ARPU in the same way when you look -- because A. Well, YouTube is the largest streaming 7 service out there. Many people use YouTube as a you referred to digital. Let me back up. primary consumption method of music, and even When you refer to digital, were you though it's a video-playing service, a lot of referring to digital downloads as well as 9 people do use it for audio purposes. 10 streaming? And does that affect the consumer price 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. When I reference 12 the 80 percent number? 12 that you were talking about for subscription 13 services? 13 JUDGE STRICKLER: Right. 14 14 A. Yes. I mean, it drags the market down, THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 for sure. 15 JUDGE STRICKLER: So the emphasis on 16 Q. And why is that? 16 ARPU applies to digital downloads as well as 17 A. Well, because YouTube is able to use 17 streaming? 18 the DMCA Safe Harbor so that they have a very -- a 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yeah. So we look a 19 fairly strong argument. You know, they won the 19 lot at how much each iTunes user provides on an 20 case against Viacom on user uploading, such that annual basis to Universal. 21 they can credibly tell the record labels that we 21 BY MS. EHLER: 22 don't really need a license from you. We're not --Why is a high ARPU streaming service 22 23 we don't have to provide content on our own. We 23 important to Universal? 24 can just rely on users to upload the content, and Because they will generate more revenue 25 because they have a billion users who are 25 for the company and add more to our bottom line. 968 970 1 constantly uploading content every minute of every O. And is that -- how does that relate 2 day, they will always have all of the content 2 with the transition to the access models that we available on YouTube, and it's impossible to take discussed earlier? 4 it all down through DMCA takedown processes. A. It means that we really need to look So we're, you know, forced into a 5 closely at where -- what services are making the 6 situation where we have to license YouTube in order 6 most money per user, as well as which services have to make some revenue on the platform because the the most users. So we can't just look, as I said 8 music is going to be there whether we like it or 8 before, at units. You know, we have lots of 9 not. We at least have to try to monetize it as 9 services that generate a huge volume of units if 10 much as possible. 10 you consider a streaming unit, but they're not 11 Earlier, you said the word "ARPU." 11 necessarily generating much revenue per user. So 12 What does that mean? 12 that's not necessarily good for us because that 13 A. It stands for average revenue per user 13 consumption of units is potentially taking away 14 and it's a metric that we use to gauge the success 14 from the consumption of units at higher ARPU 15 of services to determine where we want to position 15 services, and we want to support those higher ARPU 16 our support and our strategy and where we think we 16 services so that we can make more money as a 17 can see the most growth. I mean, as we move from a 17 company that then gets funneled back to the labels 18 physical world to a digital world, you know, now in 18 to invest in music creation. 19 the U.S., Universal is 80 percent digital. Our 19 Q. Do you have a sense of whether music 20 music revenue is 80 percent digital, only 20 20 streaming services -- and I'm speaking very 21 percent physical. And so we have to look at the 21 generally -- promote UMG's other services of 22 world more like other digital and technology 22 revenue? companies do, and they primarily rely on ARPU 23 Sorry. Could you repeat the question? 24 metrics. So social media companies look at how 24 Do you have a sense of whether music 25 much revenue they're making per user, the 25 streaming services generally promote Universal's

971 973 1 other sources of revenues, so CD downloads? 1 music creation has to do with just the statutory We haven't found that streaming 2 components that we're talking about in this 3 services promote other services because they're a 3 proceeding, you know, contribution of creating new 4 consumption model in itself. I mean, they're 4 music. So that -- we are trying to use that money 5 making the end product available. You know, it's 5 to create new music and to add additional content 6 not a sample or it's not a portion of that end 6 that can be used by the streaming services in the 7 product. It's the full end product. So there's 7 future. 8 not as much need to purchase the music when you 8 JUDGE STRICKLER: You also need profits 9 have all the music available at your fingertips. to have the incentive to go and do that so that you And what's your evidence for that 10 can create music. 11 general sense? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, of course. So 12 Well, we have seen sales, meaning 12 the -- if Vivendi, for instance, sees that this is 13 download sales and physical sales, declining 13 not a profit-making business, they're going to want 14 drastically over the last few years, especially 14 to sell our business, but it's going to be hard to 15 since 2013. Even download sales, which had been 15 find buyers of the business if they don't see that 16 the primary growth driver in the business, started 16 profit. 17 falling dramatically and now have recently, in some 17 JUDGE STRICKLER: So profit drives 18 periods, at least, exceeded the decline in CD 18 creativity just like covering the costs of the 19 sales. So we really need the streaming services to 19 creator for the product? 20 generate more revenue for us in order to maintain 20 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 21 our level of investment in music and to 21 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 22 sufficiently pay our artists so that they, you 22 BY MS. EHLER: 23 know, continue to, you know, want to renew their 23 Q. You described a general trend in the 24 recording agreements with us and to make new music, 24 market a minute ago. If the music streaming 25 as well. 25 services were promotional to other sources of 972 974 JUDGE STRICKLER: You need that revenue 1 revenue like CDs and downloads, would you expect to 2 in order to maintain your ability to make those see a different trend in the market? 3 payments, as well, and you also need that revenue 3 A. Well, of course. I mean, if streaming 4 to maintain a level of profit that you find 4 services were promotional, then, as we have seen satisfactory? 5 the consumption of streaming skyrocket over the 6 THE WITNESS: That's correct. We're 6 last few years, we should have seen kind of a 7 owned by Vivendi, and they have a financial plan 7 corresponding growth in sales revenue. I believe for us each year. We have to hit that target. we've seen the opposite; as streaming services have JUDGE STRICKLER: Is there a reluctance 9 increased, the sales have declined. 10 to mention that you try to meet profit goals as 10 Q. Do you consider the promotional and 11 substitutional effects of the streaming services well as cover costs? 12 THE WITNESS: No, and that's -- that's 12 when you are negotiating direct licenses? one of the kind of given and obvious goals of any 13 A. It's in the background and it's 14 business. 14 generally not explicitly considered in any 15 JUDGE STRICKLER: It's equally given particular deal. The promotional effect is not 16 and obvious that you need to cover your costs. 16 really considered at all because, as I explained 17 When we have industry witnesses, I'm always struck 17 before, we don't really see a promotional effect in 18 by the fact that you don't want to mention the fact 18 any new service, unless it's a clip service and we that you want -- you need to make a profit, which might see some promotional effects for licensing of 20 seems perfectly, as you say, obvious -- equally as 20 30-second clips or -- you know, we did a deal with 21 obvious as meeting costs. 21 a game called a SongPop where you have to -- you

25 company. The reason why I focus more on funding

THE WITNESS: Right.

24 definitely -- you know, it's a top goal of the

I think the profit maximization goal is

22

23

22 listen to ten-second clips and have to name the

25 just played with a buy link. You know, that,

23 song and then at the end of that game you get the

24 actual name and artwork of all the songs that were

		975			977
1	potentially, could be promotional. But we don't		1	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
2	consider promotional effects in full-track services	- 1	2	JUDGE STRICKLER: Just to make sure	
3	because the consumer is getting the end product.		_	when you answer, you don't give anything	
4	You know, we in terms of	1		restricted.	
5	substitutional effects, we have to consider the		5	THE WITNESS: Right, right.	
6	marketplace as a whole. So we never negotiate		6	So, you know, we've seen, you know,	
7	deals in a vacuum. We always consider, you know,		-	different effects of different services, and our	
8	where this particular service would fit in the	ļ		learning and our kind of our thinking about the	
9	overall digital ecosystem and, you know, we hope			digital ecosystem has changed over time. I mean,	
10	that services are as additive as possible, but			as new evidence has come out, as we've seen the	
	we're realistic in knowing that there is a			performance data from various services. So we	
12				we can never we can't remain in a static	
	service that we license, so we have to figure out,			strategy. We're constantly having to adjust and	
14				see what's going on in the marketplace to try to	
15	other services and hopefully grow the market			hit that optimal level of revenue and optimal	
	overall.			•	
17	JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me. Counsel.	4	10 17	amount of music exploitation.	•
18		1		JUDGE STRICKLER: So if I was looking	
	Just following up on that answer, Mr.	i		at the the per performance rate or percentage of revenue rate that I would see in a direct license	
19 20	Harrison, so when you do a digital deal, you do consider the substitution or cannibalization effect				
21				between Universal and any of the direct or	
22	as you as you described it, right? THE WITNESS: Yes.	- 1		interactive services, those rates would incorporate	
23	JUDGE STRICKLER: So the rate that is	- 1		already Universal's best understanding of the cost	
24				of substitution or cannibalization of digital	
	set in the negotiations is reflective of what you			downloads; is that a fair statement?	
25	anticipate is the cannibalization or substitution	'	25	THE WITNESS: It would be a combination	
		976			978
1	effect of the direct service on on other aspects		1	of the rates and also the service description or	
2	of the digital ecosystem, as you described,			functionality that's described.	
3	correct?		3	JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. I should not	
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, I would say all of		4	say rates. The overall value of the deal, let's	
5	those considerations are built into the final			put it that way	
6	negotiated rate once we sign the agreement.	Ì	6	THE WITNESS: All the terms and	
7	JUDGE STRICKLER: So the		7	conditions.	
8	cannibalization, if we're talking about a direct		8	JUDGE STRICKLER: Yes. Thank you.	
9	service, is a cannibalization of digital downloads,		9	I'll say value as a shorthand for that.	
10	perhaps? Is that one issue you're concerned with,	1	0	The overall value of the deal to Universal, when we	
11	that someone who would become a listener on	1		look at the terms, including the rates, all the	
12	Spotify, for example, might then, therefore, be			terms, we reflect Universal's best understanding of	
	less likely to buy songs through the iTunes store,	- 1		what the substitution of cannibalization effect	
14	for example, a digital download that way, and that	1	4	that was a negative effect would be in those terms	
15	would be an example of cannibalization? Is that	- 1		and conditions and rates will all attempt to	
16	what you mean?			account for that: is that a fair statement?	
17	THE WITNESS: That's a good example.		7	THE WITNESS: I think that's fair.	
	Yeah, it does depends on the deal. We don't always		8	JUDGE STRICKLAND: Okay. Thank you.	
	just think of the impact on downloads. We might	- 1	9	BY MS. EHLER:	
	think of the impact on other streaming services.	1	0.	Q. I think you may have touched on my next	
21	But Spotify is a good example where, you know, we	Ι.		question, but in the situation where Universal's	
	have for the initial year or two that Spotify	_ I _		negotiating a direct to license, would you agree to	
23	was			lower rates for a service with a low ARPU if you	
		1 4	-	10000 101 0 001 1100 11101 0 1011 1110 O 11 JUU	
24	JUDGE STRICKLER: Let me just ston you	12	4	thought it would attract users from a service with	
24 25	JUDGE STRICKLER: Let me just stop you for a second.			thought it would attract users from a service with a higher ARPU?	

		<u> </u>		
	979		10	092
1	MR. STURM: Objection. Leading. Your	1	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION)	
2	Honor.	2	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sorry. Hearing	
3	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Sustained.	3	room. Old habits die hard.	
4	BY MS. EHLER:	4	BY MR. STURM:	
5	Q. Would the impact of the ARPU of a	5	Q. Mr. Harrison, you've been with	
6	service on other services affect the way affect	6	Universal for about ten years, correct?	
7	whether you were willing to give it a lower rate?	7	A. Correct.	
8	A. Well, as I said before, the we look	8	Q. And Ms. Ehler asked you some questions	
1	at every service in the context of the marketplace	9	about your job responsibilities. Your job at	
1	as a whole and we know that the plays of one	10	Universal is primarily legal as opposed to	
1	service could take away the plays of another	11	business, correct?	
	service, and we want to generally support and drive	12	A. Correct.	
	as much usage as possible toward the higher ARPU	13	Q. And immediately before joining	
14	services so that we can make as much money as	14	Universal, you were in private law practice for	
15	possible.	15	about six years?	
16	MS. EHLER: Your Honor, I'm about to	16	A. Yeah, close to six years.	
17	move into restricted information, if we could clear	17	Q. In addition to being a lawyer for	
1	the courtroom.	1	Universal, you're also on the licensing committee	
19	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Anyone in the	19	for SoundExchange, right?	
	hearing room who has not signed a nondisclosure	20	A. That's right.	
l .	certificate, please wait outside.	21	Q. And you've been on that committee about	
22	(THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)	ı	seven years, since 2008?	
23	(RESTRICTED SESSION BOUND SEPARATELY)	23	A. Something like that.	
24		24	Q. And the licensing committee includes	
25		25	you on behalf of Universal, right?	
	980		10	093
1		1	A. Yes.	
2		2	Q. Mr. Wilcox, who is a future witness	
3		3	from Warner, right?	
4		4	A. Right.	
5		5	Q. Two representatives from Sony, right?	
6		6	A. Jeff Walker and Andrea Finkelstein.	
7		7	Q. Those two are from Sony?	
8		8	A. Yes.	
9		9	Q. Also Mr. Van Arman, who I believe	
10		10	testified on Tuesday. He's on the licensing	
11		11	committee?	
12		12	A. Yes.	
13		13	Q. Now, that licensing committee of	
14		14	SoundExchange is scheduled to meet every week by	
15		15	telephone, right?	
16		16	A. That's right.	
17		17	Q. And the primary discussion subject	
18		18	of discussions on those telephone conferences in	
19		19	the licensing committee is strategy for the CRB	
20		20	litigation, correct?	
21		21	MS. EHLER: Objection, Your Honor, to	
22		22	the extent it's calling for privileged information.	
23		23	MR. STURM: Well, I didn't ask the	
24		24	nature of the strategy and it is a question that he	
25		25	answered at his deposition. To the extent there	

		1094			109
1	was any privilege, it was waived.		1	be even a little bit higher than that, correct?	
2	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Overruled.		2	A. Correct.	
3	I think you can answer that question.		3	Q. Let's talk a little bit about your	
4	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the		4	negotiations with interactive services. I think	
5	question?		5	you testified on direct that in a renegotiation	
6	BY MR. STURM:		6	with Slacker, you gave them some relief on a	
7	Q. Yeah.		7	minimum guarantee because well, let me see if I	
8	The primary subject of these weekly		8	can you, on occasion, have given some relief	
9	telephone conferences for the SoundExchange		9	when services have indicated that they were	
10	licenses committee is strategy for the CRB		10	unprofitable?	
	litigation, correct?		11	MS. EHLER: I just want to be careful	
12	A. That's a common subject.		12	about confidential to the extent it's getting into	
13	Q. And what are are there other common		13	any specifics.	
14	subjects, without getting into anything privileged?		14	I don't know if Mr. Harrison can answer	
15	A. We talk about settlement deals for		15	without revealing sensitive business information.	
6	different constituencies of statutorily licensed		16	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: I appreciate	
7	services.		17	that, and Mr. Harrison can certainly indicate if he	
8	Q. Thank you.		18	feels he cannot.	
9	In your written direct testimony, you		19	BY MR. STURM:	
0.9	say that Universal's share of the U.S. recorded		20	Q. Are you comfortable answering that	
21	music market is about 38 percent, right?		21	question?	
2	A. Right.		22	A. Could you repeat the question?	
23	Q. That includes EMI which you acquired in		23	Q. Sure.	
	2012, right?		24	As rephrased, it was on occasion you	
24	2012, 11giii.		2.7	115 repinabed, 10 mas on decasion you	
24 25	A. Right.		ŀ	have given some relief to services in negotiations	
		1095	ŀ		109
25	A. Right.	1095	25	have given some relief to services in negotiations	109
25	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated	1095	25	have given some relief to services in negotiations based on suggestions that they were not being	109
1 2	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right?	1095	25 1 2	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any	10
1	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right.	1095	25 1 2 3	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details?	10
1 2 3	 A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I 	1095	1 2 3 4	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair.	10
1 2 3 4 5	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right.	1095	1 2 3 4 5	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any	10
1 2 3 4 5 6	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here?	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a	10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here?	1095	1 2 3 4 5	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was	10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct?	10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening.	10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your	10
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said.	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that	10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	 Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or	10
5 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S.	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that	10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right?	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct?	10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right? A. Right.	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct? A. Well, we have matched price in download	10
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right? A. Right. Q. And then Warner is about another 20	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct? A. Well, we have matched price in download stores in the past to a lower price.	10
12345678901234567	Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right? A. Right. Q. And then Warner is about another 20 percent, right?	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct? A. Well, we have matched price in download stores in the past to a lower price. Q. Okay. Do you have tab the	10
123456789012345678	Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right? A. Right. Q. And then Warner is about another 20 percent, right? A. Something like that.	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct? A. Well, we have matched price in download stores in the past to a lower price.	109
1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right? A. Right. Q. And then Warner is about another 20 percent, right? A. Something like that. Q. So just doing the math, it's fair to	1095	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct? A. Well, we have matched price in download stores in the past to a lower price. Q. Okay. Do you have tab the cross-examination binder there? A. Yes.	10
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 0 0	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right? A. Right. Q. And then Warner is about another 20 percent, right? A. Something like that. Q. So just doing the math, it's fair to say that you guys getting together for your weekly	1095	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct? A. Well, we have matched price in download stores in the past to a lower price. Q. Okay. Do you have tab the cross-examination binder there? A. Yes. Q. Will you look at Page 218, please?	10
1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right? A. Right. Q. And then Warner is about another 20 percent, right? A. Something like that. Q. So just doing the math, it's fair to say that you guys getting together for your weekly licensing committee meetings control 85 percent of	1095	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct? A. Well, we have matched price in download stores in the past to a lower price. Q. Okay. Do you have tab the cross-examination binder there? A. Yes. Q. Will you look at Page 218, please? Should be right in the first part of the binder.	10
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right? A. Right. Q. And then Warner is about another 20 percent, right? A. Something like that. Q. So just doing the math, it's fair to say that you guys getting together for your weekly licensing committee meetings control 85 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right?	1095	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct? A. Well, we have matched price in download stores in the past to a lower price. Q. Okay. Do you have tab the cross-examination binder there? A. Yes. Q. Will you look at Page 218, please? Should be right in the first part of the binder. JUDGE FEDER: And that's 218 of the	109
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	A. Right. Q. Then, at your deposition, you estimated that Sony was just under 30 percent, right? A. Right. Q. And you have read your deposition, I assume, recently in preparation for your testimony here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So Mr. Kooker testified a couple of days ago in open court that Sony's share was 26 to 28 percent. So ballpark the same as what you said. So if you add your 38 percent and use 27 percent for Sony, that's 65 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right? A. Right. Q. And then Warner is about another 20 percent, right? A. Something like that. Q. So just doing the math, it's fair to say that you guys getting together for your weekly licensing committee meetings control 85 percent of the U.S. recorded music market, right?	1095	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	based on suggestions that they were not being profitable; is that fair, without getting into any details? A. That's fair. Q. Okay. But you have never lowered any of the rates that you are proposing as a consequence to finding out some other major was offering a lower rate, correct? A. I don't recall that happening. Q. Okay. And at the time of your deposition, you couldn't think of any action that Universal has ever taken to compete with Sony or Warner with respect to licensing its services, correct? A. Well, we have matched price in download stores in the past to a lower price. Q. Okay. Do you have tab the cross-examination binder there? A. Yes. Q. Will you look at Page 218, please? Should be right in the first part of the binder.	109

			ary		
		1098			110
1	trying to be an obstructionist.		1	is what I wrote down.	
2	The deposition was designated		2	Is that an accurate summary of your	
3	restrictive, and if you want to just give me a		3	testimony?	
4	second to look and make sure		4	A. That's accurate.	
5	MR. STURM: Yes.		5	Q. Okay. But I asked you that question at	
6	MS. EHLER: that we're willing to		6	your deposition, right? Do you remember that?	
7	unrestrict that		7	A. No. If you could point me to that	
8	MR. STURM: Right.		8	page.	
9	MS. EHLER: piece of information.		9	Q. Sure.	
10	MR. STURM: So it's at 218, Line 7 to		10	Page 194. And before I read it, I'll	
11	Line 10.		11	give Ms. Ehler a chance to look.	
12	MS. EHLER: I think that section is		12	MS. EHLER: What lines are you looking	
13	okay.		13	at?	
14	Thank you.		14	MR. STURM: 194, Line 9. And we will	
15	BY MR. STURM:		15	go on to maybe Line 20.	
16	Q. So you're at Page 218 of your		16	MS. EHLER: Okay.	
17	deposition?		17	BY MR. STURM:	
18	A. Yes.		18	Q. So, at your deposition, I asked you,	
19	Q. And did I ask, at that time, and did		19	question: "Does the perceived promotional or	
20	you answer, question: "Are there any actions you		20	substitutionally affect the service, affect the	
21	can think of that Universal takes to compete with		21	rates that Universal is willing to offer for a	
22	Sony and Warner or Warner with respect to		22	particular service?"	
23	services?"		23	Your answer was: "Yes."	
24	Answer: "No."		24	Right?	
25	A. Yes.		25	A. Right.	
			23	11. Idgill.	
		1099		11. Night.	110
	O. Now you have had services without	1099			110
1	Q. Now, you have had services without getting into any specifics come in and say, you	1099	1	Q. And the way that the rate is affected	110
1 2	getting into any specifics come in and say, you	1099	1 2	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the	110
1 2 3	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your	1099	1 2 3	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right?	110
1 2 3 4	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to	1099	1 2 3 4	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was	110
1 2 3 4	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you?	1099	1 2 3 4 5	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you.	110
1 2 3 4 5	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you?	1099	1 2 3 4	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry.	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you.	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah.	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know. if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much.	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay.	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that	1110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right?	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that.	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right.	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your decision as to what you're going to offer, correct,	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of interactivity, the lower the rate, right?	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your decision as to what you're going to offer, correct, that argument?	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of interactivity, the lower the rate, right? A. Right.	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your decision as to what you're going to offer, correct, that argument? A. Correct.	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of interactivity, the lower the rate, right? A. Right. Q. And the reason for that is you think on-demand or higher levels of interactivity are	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your decision as to what you're going to offer, correct, that argument? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you always want to	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of interactivity, the lower the rate, right? A. Right. Q. And the reason for that is you think	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your decision as to what you're going to offer, correct, that argument? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you always want to get the highest rate possible, correct? A. Correct.	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. Right. Q. And the reason for that is you think on-demand or higher levels of interactivity are more substitutional than less on-demand, correct? A. Correct.	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your decision as to what you're going to offer, correct, that argument? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you always want to get the highest rate possible, correct? A. Correct. Q. Ms. Ehler asked you some questions	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of interactivity, the higher the ractivity, the lower the rate, right? A. Right. Q. And the reason for that is you think on-demand or higher levels of interactivity are more substitutional than less on-demand, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, if you were to rank	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your decision as to what you're going to offer, correct, that argument? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you always want to get the highest rate possible, correct? A. Correct. Q. Ms. Ehler asked you some questions about whether the perceived promotional or	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of interactivity, the higher the ractivity, the lower the rate, right? A. Right. Q. And the reason for that is you think on-demand or higher levels of interactivity are more substitutional than less on-demand, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, if you were to rank streaming services from least substitutional to	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your decision as to what you're going to offer, correct, that argument? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you always want to get the highest rate possible, correct? A. Correct. Q. Ms. Ehler asked you some questions about whether the perceived promotional or substitutional effect of the service affects the	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of interactivity, the lower the rate, right? A. Right. Q. And the reason for that is you think on-demand or higher levels of interactivity are more substitutional than less on-demand, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, if you were to rank streaming services from least substitutional to most, the order would be simulcast, then custom,	110
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	getting into any specifics come in and say, you know, if you cut your rates I'll play more of your music, right? Services have made that pitch to you? A. I think it's mainly been in the inverse, meaning that if the rates are too high we won't play your content as much or won't merchandise the content as much. Q. Okay. A. But it's a reasonable inference from that. Q. Okay. But that doesn't sway your decision as to what you're going to offer, correct, that argument? A. Correct. Q. And that's because you always want to get the highest rate possible, correct? A. Correct. Q. Ms. Ehler asked you some questions about whether the perceived promotional or	1099	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And the way that the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? I'm sorry. I wasn't quoting. That was a new question to you. A. Oh, sorry. Can you repeat the question? Q. Yeah. So the way the rate is affected is that the higher the level of interactivity, the higher the rate, right? A. That's right. Q. And the lower the level of interactivity, the higher the ractivity, the lower the rate, right? A. Right. Q. And the reason for that is you think on-demand or higher levels of interactivity are more substitutional than less on-demand, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, if you were to rank streaming services from least substitutional to	116

	III No. Determination of its	T	5	2 11 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
	1	102			1104
1	substitutional, right?		1	doesn't have any of those things that you've just	
2	A. Right.			been talking about, correct?	
3	The simulcast, as I understand it,		3	A. I'm not aware of simulcasting having	
4	which is playing the same broadcast on the Internet		4	those inputs.	
5	that's being played on terrestrial.		5	Q. Sorry to switch binders on you.	
6	Q. Right.		6	Do you have your the binder that Ms.	
7	So that's the least substitutional,		7	Ehler gave you, the your written direct	
8	right?		8	testimony?	
9	A. Right.		9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. On-demand is the most substitutional,		10	Q. So look on Page 7, Paragraph 13.	
11	right?		11	A. Okay.	
12	A. Right.		12	Q. So I'm starting, I guess, with the	
13	Q. And custom is somewhere in the middle?		13	second sentence well, let's start with the first	
14	A. That's right.		14	few sentences of that paragraph. You say, "In our	
15	Q. And is that hierarchy based on data you	1	15	experience," the services ability to return	
16	have reviewed, or is that just your perception from		16	sufficient value to Universal depends on the amount	
17			17	of average revenue per user, ARPU, the services can	
18	A. It's more perception from being in the		18	generate. In particular, we have found that	
19	industry.		19	streaming services cannot generate sufficient ARPU	
20	Q. And you certainly you haven't seen		20	through advertising a loan. This is, in part,	
21	any data that contradicts that hierarchy that you		21	because streaming services are reticent to play	
22	just testified to, correct?		22	advertisements at the same frequency as terrestrial	
23	A. Correct.		23	radio."	
24	Q. And you believe that if there are	- 1	24	I assume I read that correctly?	
25	limited if customization is limited, a lower		25	A. Yes.	
	1	103			1105
	rate can be justified, correct?		1	Q. Okay. You don't have any data to show	
2	A. Correct.		2	or any reason to believe that the ad load for	
3	Q. And some of the factors that would be	l	3	simulcasters is any less than terrestrial radio,	
4	relevant to that would be whether there is thumbs		4	correct?	
5	up and thumbs down. That's relevant, right? If	l	5	A. I don't have any evidence to that	
	you can use that functionality if you can't use			effect.	
7	that functionality, you would agree rates should be		7	Q. So when you talk about streaming	
	lower, right?	l		services in that third sentence that I just read	
9	A. Yeah. There are sets of features that			there, you're not talking about simulcasters,	
10	could make a service more interactive or less	- 1		right?	
	interactive. Thumbs up, thumbs down is one of	- 1	11	A. That's not what I was thinking of when	
	them, but it depends what the entire context of			I wrote that sentence.	
13	what the service is in combination.	- 1	13	Q. A few sentences down you say,	
14	Q. Right.	- 1		"Publicly-available statements from streaming	
15	And another feature would be related to			services reflect the services predictions that	
	a particular hit, a particular artist, right?	1		advertising revenues will grow as advertisers	
17	A. That's right.			become more comfortable with the audience that the	
18	Q. Sliding between more and less	- 1		services reach."	
19	discovery, that would be another one, right?		19	I read that correctly, right?	
20	A. Right.	- 1	20	A. Right.	
21	Q. And when you mentioned it in your		21	Q. But that prediction is also not from	
22	deposition was mood based influence, right? That's	- 1		simulcasters, right?	
23	another one?	- 1	23	A. No. That's not the source.	
24	A. Right.		24	Q. Let's flip back a couple of pages to	
25	 Q. And simulcasting basic simulcasting 	- [:	25	Paragraph 18, which is on Page 10, the last are	
25		,			

		Τ		
	1106			1108
1	you there?	1	I'm here on behalf of Pandora Media. Let's start,	
2	A. Yes.	1	if we could, just with some basics. We talked a	
3	Q. Okay. So I'm looking at the last	3		
4	sentence of that paragraph, and you say, "As a	4	a few questions there.	
1	result, I believe that the statutory rates need to	5	If you could look at Page 10 or, I'm	
6		6	sorry, Paragraph 10 of your testimony. You will	
7	fact that customized webcasting services are	7		
8	becoming more and more personalized and competing	8	it says, "Customized webcasting services transmit	
9	directly with the on-demand services."	9	individual recordings to individual users."	
10	But simulcasting of terrestrial radio	10	Do you see that?	
11	isn't becoming more personalized than it was	11	A. Yes.	
12		12	Q. All right. And you don't mean by that	
13	A. I don't know that it is. It		to suggest that users of a customized service can	
- 1	theoretically could, but I don't know that it is.	14		
15	JUDGE STRICKLER: When you say,	15	A. No.	
16	"theoretically could," what's your basis for that	16	O. In fact, a user of a customized service	
17	· ·		like Pandora can't pick a particular recording to	
18	THE WITNESS: Well, that simulcasts	1	listen to, correct?	
19	could replace programming that was in the original	19	A. That's right. They can just pick a	
- 1	terrestrial broadcast with other programming. I	20		
1	mean, you can switch out tracks that are played.	21	Q. Right.	
	You could theoretically include tracks in place of	22	But they can't pick the recordings that	
23	ad space. So they have the technology to	į.	they're going to hear, correct?	
1	manipulate the programming that's in the simulcast	24	A. No. Although that recording could come	
- F	to make it different from what was on the	1	up later in the playlist. It just doesn't come up	
	1107			
3				1100
	1107			1109
1	terrestrial broadcast.	1	at the first track in the playlist.	1109
1 2		1 2	at the first track in the playlist. Q. Now, on an on-demand service like	1109
- 1	terrestrial broadcast.	1		1109
2	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a	2 3	Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right?	1109
2 3	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a	2 3	Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that	1109
2 3 4	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a	2 3 4	Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right?	1109
2 3 4 5	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a	2 3 4 5	Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes.	1109
2 3 4 5 6	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear. right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear. right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire album; is that right? 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm. I apologize for calling you Mr. Miller	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire album; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And they can listen to that album over	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm. I apologize for calling you Mr. Miller a while ago. I'll use the excuse that I'm old. Mr. Larson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire album; is that right? A. That's right.	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm. I apologize for calling you Mr. Miller a while ago. I'll use the excuse that I'm old.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire album; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And they can listen to that album over	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm. I apologize for calling you Mr. Miller a while ago. I'll use the excuse that I'm old. Mr. Larson. MR. LARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire album; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And they can listen to that album over and over if they want to?	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm. I apologize for calling you Mr. Miller a while ago. I'll use the excuse that I'm old. Mr. Larson. MR. LARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA MEDIA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire album; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And they can listen to that album over and over if they want to? A. That's right. Q. And other Rhapsody users I'm sorry. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm. I apologize for calling you Mr. Miller a while ago. I'll use the excuse that I'm old. Mr. Larson. MR. LARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA MEDIA BY MR. LARSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire album; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And they can listen to that album over and over if they want to? A. That's right. Q. And other Rhapsody users I'm sorry. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm. I apologize for calling you Mr. Miller a while ago. I'll use the excuse that I'm old. Mr. Larson. MR. LARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA MEDIA BY MR. LARSON: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Harrison.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire album; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And they can listen to that album over and over if they want to? A. That's right. Q. And other Rhapsody users I'm sorry. Let me go back one step. 	1109
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	terrestrial broadcast. JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. BY MR. STURM: Q. But, in that event, it would still be a one to a one to many broadcast as opposed to a one-to-one custom situation, right? A. I'm not positive. Q. And you haven't you are not aware that this is actually being done. You're just saying it's technologically possible, correct? A. Correct. MR. STURM: I don't have any further questions, Your Honor. Thank you. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Sturm. I apologize for calling you Mr. Miller a while ago. I'll use the excuse that I'm old. Mr. Larson. MR. LARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PANDORA MEDIA BY MR. LARSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Now, on an on-demand service like Spotify, a user can pick the particular track that he or she is going to hear, right? A. Depending on the service tier, yes. Q. And so on the premium Spotify service? A. That's correct. Q. And on the Spotify free service, on the desktop, as well, correct? A. That's correct. Q. Now, a user on Pandora cannot choose and listen to a particular album, correct? A. That's correct. Q. And a user of an on-demand service like Rhapsody or Rdio can select and listen to an entire album; is that right? A. That's right. Q. And they can listen to that album over and over if they want to? A. That's right. Q. And other Rhapsody users I'm sorry. Let me go back one step. A user on Rhapsody and Rdio can also 	1109

1112 1110 Correct. 1 six songs from that album in the first hour of 2 listening, correct? 2 And other Rhapsody users who might choose to listen to that playlist can see every 3 Correct. 4 song on that playlist ahead of time song by song; And that shuffle service allows the is that right? 5 user to pick an artist and hear songs, a shuffle of A. That's right. songs only from that artist, correct? 6 7 Q. And a Pandora user -- I think we may 7 A. That's correct. 8 have covered this, but I'll ask just to be sure. A Ο. And that -- the shuffle feature also allows the user to select a playlist where he or 9 Pandora user can't construct a track by track 10 playlist with tracks of his or her choice, correct? she can see -- know what songs are on the playlist, 11 A. No, they cannot. but then they hear it in a shuffled order, correct? 12 And if a Pandora user listens to a 12 Yes, that's possible, yes. 13 friend's station, that Pandora user can't see the 13 And none of that's allowed by a 14 tracks that will be included in the station; is 14 statutory service, correct? 15 that right? 15 Correct. 16 A. That's right. 16 And would all be beyond the sound 17 Q. And the Pandora user can't even see the 17 recording performance complement? 18 title of the next song that's coming, correct? 18 A. Right. 19 That's correct. 19 Mr. Sturm just asked you a couple of 20 In Paragraph 30, your testimony 20 questions about the ad load and statutory services. 21 mentions the Spotify free tier. I think we talked Just look at Paragraph 13, if you could, of your 22 about this a good bit on your direct, the Spotify testimony. 23 23 shuffle service. I think Mr. Sturm pointed you to this 24 Do you remember that? 24 sentence. You say, "webcasting services are 25 A. Yes. 25 reticent to play ads at the same frequency as 1111 1113 Okay. And so, again, just to -- so 1 terrestrial radio?" 2 we're all using the same terminology, Spotify has 2 Do you see that? both a paid tier and a free tier; is that right? 3 4 A. That's right. 4 Your assumption in that statement is And as to the free tier, that's 5 that streaming services could sell more ads if they 6 available both on the desktop and on mobile phones, 6 wanted to, correct? right? Correct. 8 A. So the on-demand free tier is available And your support for that, I think you on the desktop and the shuffle is available on 9 told me at your deposition, is reading press 10 mobile. 10 reports and analyst reports, that sort of thing? 11 Q. Right. Thank you. 11 Yes, that's correct. 12 So just to be clear, on the desktop, 12 And you don't actually know for sure 13 the free version of Spotify is fully on-demand, 13 whether Pandora could or could not increase its 14 correct? 14 revenue if it ran more ads than it's currently That's correct. 15 A. 15 running, correct? 16 And it has the features we were just 16 A. Not on Pandora, although we've -- we 17 talking about a moment ago where users can pick 17 have seen that iHeartRadio hasn't played any ads in particular songs or albums, correct? 18 order to try and compete with Pandora's light ad 19 A. That's correct. load. So, you know, they're not even trying to 20 And then the -- the free service for sell ads or make revenues. They're really just 21 Spotify and the mobile is what's called the shuffle 21 focusing on growing their user base. service you talk about? 22 You don't know how many users Pandora 23 Ves 23 could upsell to its subscription service if it A. 24 And the shuffle service allows a user 24 increased its ad load on the free service, correct? 25 to pick an album, a particular album and hear up to 25 A. No.

1114 1116 Look at Paragraph 16, if you would, of 1 you for your -- what evidence you have related to 2 your testimony. In Paragraph 16, you suggest in 2 that question. 3 the last sentence that Pandora is streaming music 3 Do you remember that? 4 to users who might otherwise pay for a 4 Yes 5 And I believe your answer was the fact subscription. Do you see that? 6 that sales have been declining in the last few A. Yes. years at the same time that listeners' shift to streaming services has increased; is that right? 8 You don't have any evidence as to what 9 number of free Pandora users would sign up for 9 That's right. 10 Pandora I, the subscription product, if there was 10 All right. But you've got no evidence 11 no Pandora free service, correct? 11 that listening to statutory webcasting is actually That's correct. 12 12 the cause of the decline in sales of permanent downloads and CDs; is that right? 13 And you don't have any evidence, I take 14 it, or you haven't cited any in your testimony, at 14 Right. We don't have any --15 least, that there is some meaningful group of users 15 That's fine. Your counsel will have a 16 who would be willing to pay to subscribe to Pandora 16 chance to ask you some questions if he wants to. 17 beyond those who already have, correct? 17 So when you wrote the sentence, these 18 A. I don't have the data because I don't 18 sentences regarding the substitutional nature of 19 get reported to, but Pandora, I think, had some webcasting services, you weren't thinking of any 20 growth from what I recall when they had a play cap specific study or analysis that showed some 21 or an hours cap on their free service. So when 21 causation between statutory webcasting and 22 they took it away, I would expect that that growth 22 declining downloads or CD sales, correct? 23 would decline. 23 No. It was my industry, sense and Q. You recognize in your direct testimony 24 industry experience. 25 25 -- in your direct with Ms. Ehler that there are Q. Now, Judge Strickler asked you some 1115 1117 1 some people who just aren't willing to pay for a 1 questions on direct about whether you factor 2 subscription service, correct? 2 substitution. Is the potential substitution of a 3 A. Correct. 3 direct license to partner into your direct deals. 4 Let's look at Paragraph 10. And sorry Do you remember that? Q. 5 for bouncing around, but I'm trying to do the 5 6 public questions before the restricted. 6 And you indicated that you do consider In the last sentence there, you suggest 7 that in your deals, correct? 8 that customized Internet radio creates a diminished 8 Correct. need to create a recording. 9 Q. And you acknowledge that the 10 Do you see that? substitutional impact of statutory webcasting 11 Yes. services might differ from the substitutional Α. 12 And you also claim that streaming 12 impact of on-demand services; is that right? 13 13 services -- and this is going over to Paragraph That's right. 14 11 -- streaming services are drawing customers and 14 If that's true, then isn't it also the 15 revenue away from the sale of permanent downloads 15 case that your direct deal with a statutory 16 and CDs, correct? webcaster might look somewhat different than your 17 17 direct deals that you do with on-demand services? A. Correct. 18 Q. Right. 18 I guess it's possible. 19 So you're making the claim -- I think 19 Q. In Paragraph 18 of your testimony, if 20 on your direct you said you didn't have evidence 20 you could take a look at that, in the middle of 21 that Pandora was promotional, but here you're 21 that paragraph, you claim that on-demand 22 actually suggesting that Pandora is substitutional 22 subscription services compete with statutory 23 in sales, correct? services for large portions of the same basic 24 24 users; is that right? A. Correct. 25 And I think Ms. Ehler on direct asked 25 A. Yes.

www.CapitalReportingCompany.com

		_		
	1118		I	156
١,	And you didn't have that contention on	,	streaming will cover any decline in downloads that	
1 2	Q. And you didn't base that contention on any research demonstrating that Pandora users would			
3	otherwise subscribe to an on-demand service if	3	A. I don't know their prediction in 2015.	
4	Pandora weren't available, correct?	4	Q. You mentioned that UMG is owned by	
5	A. Correct.	5	Vivendi?	
6	Q. And you acknowledge that Pandora	6	A. Yes.	
7	competes with terrestrial radio, right?	7	Q. And you understand that Vivendi's	
8	A. Right.	8	chairman and CEO is Arnaud de Puyfontaine? Did I	
9	Q. And you're aware that Pandora is	9	say that right?	
10	increasingly available in the car through various	10	A. I don't know if you said it correctly,	
11	integrations with automakers?	11	but I believe that Vivendi's chairman is Vincent	
12	A. Yes.	12	Bollore.	
13	Q. And, in your view, that fact would tend	13	Q. So earlier, the chairman Jean-Rene	
14	to increase the possible competition between	14	Fourtou would have been the chair?	
- 1	Pandora and terrestrial radio that's also available	15	A. Might have been an interim chair. I	
16	in the car; is that right?	16	can't remember.	
17	A. It should.	17	Q. So if he was speaking as CEO to	
18	MR. LARSON: With that, Your Honor, I	18	analysts and investors in February, two weeks ago,	i
19	am up to some restricted material and I'm afraid I	19	if the transcript said he was CEO, he might have	
20	would have to ask to close the courtroom.	20	been CEO, he might not have been?	
21	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. Anyone who	21	A. Certainly possible.	
22	is in the courtroom who has not signed the	22	Q. You don't know?	
23	nondisclosure certificate, please wait outside.	23 24	A. No.	
24 25	(THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION) (RESTRICTED SESSION BOUND SEPARATELY)	25	Q. You don't know.	
23	(RESTRICTED SESSION BOOND SEFARATELT)	23	Do you have any understanding at all	
	1155		1	157
				157
1	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION)		about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth	157
1 2	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR	2	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the	157
2	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO	2 3	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about?	157
- 1	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE:	2 3 4	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know.	157
3	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne	2 3 4 5	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever	157
3 4 5	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE:	2 3 4	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know?	157
2 3 4 5 6	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to	2 3 4 5 6 7	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to	157
2 3 4 5 6 7	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which	2 3 4 5 6 7	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know?	157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't	157
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows.	157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in	157
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes.	157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial	157
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in	157
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes. Q. Under the current rates. That includes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in talking about financials with analysts and	157
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes. Q. Under the current rates. That includes that Pandora is paying roughly half the statutory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in talking about financials with analysts and investors; is that correct?	157
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes. Q. Under the current rates. That includes that Pandora is paying roughly half the statutory rate at the moment; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in talking about financials with analysts and investors; is that correct? A. Correct.	157
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes. Q. Under the current rates. That includes that Pandora is paying roughly half the statutory rate at the moment; is that correct? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in talking about financials with analysts and investors; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. So your testimony mentioned that there	157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes. Q. Under the current rates. That includes that Pandora is paying roughly half the statutory rate at the moment; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And then in 2014, it was the case that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in talking about financials with analysts and investors; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. So your testimony mentioned that there was a very specific decline in downloads in January	157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes. Q. Under the current rates. That includes that Pandora is paying roughly half the statutory rate at the moment; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And then in 2014, it was the case that the growth of streaming had covered all the decline	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in talking about financials with analysts and investors; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. So your testimony mentioned that there was a very specific decline in downloads in January of 2014.	157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes. Q. Under the current rates. That includes that Pandora is paying roughly half the statutory rate at the moment; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And then in 2014, it was the case that the growth of streaming had covered all the decline in downloads; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in talking about financials with analysts and investors; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. So your testimony mentioned that there was a very specific decline in downloads in January of 2014. Do you remember that?	157
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes. Q. Under the current rates. That includes that Pandora is paying roughly half the statutory rate at the moment; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And then in 2014, it was the case that the growth of streaming had covered all the decline in downloads; is that correct? A. The decline in downloads, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in talking about financials with analysts and investors; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. So your testimony mentioned that there was a very specific decline in downloads in January of 2014. Do you remember that? A. Yes.	157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(THIS BEGINS OPEN SESSION) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR IHEARTRADIO BY MR. THORNE: Q. Mr. Harrison, I am John Thorne representing iHeartRadio. I'm going to be able to be brief thanks to our colleague's questions which covered some of the stuff I was going to cover, but let me just start off with something she may have said and I will be clarifying. Under current rates, Universal Music Group's streaming revenues are currently growing fast enough to offset the decline in downloads. That's correct, isn't it? A. On digital downloads, yes. Q. Under the current rates. That includes that Pandora is paying roughly half the statutory rate at the moment; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And then in 2014, it was the case that the growth of streaming had covered all the decline in downloads; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about what is going to happen in 2015 to the growth of streaming, whether it is going to cover the decline in downloads that you talked about? A. I don't know. Q. You don't know. Would the CEO, whoever he is, know? A. Well, the CEO probably has access to financial plans that make a prediction, but I don't think he knows. Q. He has an obligation to be careful in talking to analysts and investors, and you know that because you are a lawyer; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And likewise, the chief financial officer of Vivendi would have to be cautious in talking about financials with analysts and investors; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. So your testimony mentioned that there was a very specific decline in downloads in January of 2014. Do you remember that?	157

25 that the streaming will cover -- the growth in

		1158			1193
1	wasn't it, that your CFO, the CFO of Vivendi		1	(THIS BEGINS PUBLIC SESSION)	
	believed streaming will be a good thing for the		2	MR. RICH: Your Honor, before the	
3	industry in the future in order to change the		3	examination begins, if I may, Mr. Marks will be	
4	consumption model for masses of people; is that		4	handling this witness for Pandora.	
5	right?		5	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you.	
6	A. That's certainly the hope.		6	MS. ELGIN: Your Honor,	
7	Q. And then the CFO at that time, when you		7	cross-examination for NAB, Jennifer Elgin.	
8	just had the blip in downloads, believed that		8	MS. POPE: And for iHeart, Leslie Pope.	
9	Universal was clearly in a good position to benefit		9	MR. TOOF: Judge, Jackson Toof subbing	
10	from the growth of streaming; is that correct?		10	in for Paul Fakler for SiriusXM. I doubt I'll have	
11	A. Correct.		11	any cross-examination but just wanted to introduce	
12	Q. So I was going to offer Universal Music		12	myself.	
13	Group's full-year strategic plan from 2015 to 2019,		13	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. I	
14	but I think I will save it for Mr. Caraeff and see		14	wondered what happened to Mr. Fakler. He didn't	
15	if he is familiar with that.		15		
16	But assuming that what was produced to		16	MR. TOOF: He did not.	
17	us in response to our interrogatories by		17	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR	
18	SoundExchange is accurate, I would like to ask you			SOUNDEXCHANGE	
19	some questions.		18	BY MR. CHOUDHURY:	
20	MR. THORNE: I'm going to have to go on		19	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Wheeler. I have	
21	restricted materials at this point, Your Honor.		20	"good morning" written in my outline, but as it	
22	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Okay. I had		21	were.	
23	forgotten we had reopened. If you are not a		22	Where are you currently employed, and	
24	signatory to a nondisclosure certificate, could you		23	what is your position?	
25	please wait outside.		24	A. I'm currently employed by the Beggars	
			25	Group of record labels based in London. And I am	
		1159			1194
1	(THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION)			the director of digital	
	(1	the director of digital.	
2	(1120 21.30 1 02.310 02.01.1)		2	Q. And what was your position before you	
2	(1120 21.20 1 02.210 22.010.1)	:	2	_	
	(1120 21.20 1 02.220 22.01.1)	:	2	Q. And what was your position before you	
3	(1120 21.20 1 02.22 02.00101)	:	2 3	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital?	
3 4	(1120 21.20 1 02.22 02.00101)		2 3 4 5	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital?A. We don't really have a full job title	
3 4 5	(1120 21.20 1 02.20 32.50.101.)		2 3 4 5	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those	
3 4 5 6			2 3 4 5 6	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those	
3 4 5 6 7	(1120 21.20 1 02.20 22.00.1)		2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9			2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9			2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with everything we do as a company.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with everything we do as a company. Q. When was the first time you worked on	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with everything we do as a company. Q. When was the first time you worked on licensing for the online distribution of sound	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with everything we do as a company. Q. When was the first time you worked on licensing for the online distribution of sound recordings?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with everything we do as a company. Q. When was the first time you worked on licensing for the online distribution of sound recordings? A. We made our first deal in 1997 with a company called Amplified.com. It we think we were the first company of any significant size to	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with everything we do as a company. Q. When was the first time you worked on licensing for the online distribution of sound recordings? A. We made our first deal in 1997 with a company called Amplified.com. It — we think we	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with everything we do as a company. Q. When was the first time you worked on licensing for the online distribution of sound recordings? A. We made our first deal in 1997 with a company called Amplified.com. It we think we were the first company of any significant size to	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with everything we do as a company. Q. When was the first time you worked on licensing for the online distribution of sound recordings? A. We made our first deal in 1997 with a company called Amplified.com. It we think we were the first company of any significant size to make a digital distribution deal for our catalog at	at
3 4 5 6 7 8			2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And what was your position before you were director of digital? A. We don't really have a full job title structure at Beggars. But if you want to put a name on it, I was head of new media back in those days. Q. And how many employees are in the digital department at Beggars Group? A. Just myself. We decided about six or seven years ago to dispense all the digital business and just make it part of what everyone does. So digital is pretty engrained with everything we do as a company. Q. When was the first time you worked on licensing for the online distribution of sound recordings? A. We made our first deal in 1997 with a company called Amplified.com. It — we think we were the first company of any significant size to make a digital distribution deal for our catalog at that point in time.	at

1195 1197 1 CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Thank you. If you could look at the last page of 2 MR. CHOUDHURY: 2 the exhibit. 3 And have you been involved in any trade 3 Is that your signature? associations or other organizations in the music 4 Yes, it is. industry? 5 Is the information in your written Q. A. Yes. Beggars Group is a big supporter 6 testimony true and correct? 7 of the independent sector and is instrumental in 7 Yes, it is. many organizations representing the independent 8 MR. CHOUDHURY: Okay. At this time, sector. Your Honor, I move for admission of SoundExchange 10 Myself, I am the chairman of new media Trial Exhibit 21. committee at the Association of Independent Music, 11 MR. MARKS: Mindful of the Court's which is the U.K. trade association for independent 12 prior rulings, no objection. record labels. I also work closely with its 13 MS. POPE: No objection. 14 American equivalent, A2IM. 14 MR. TOOF: No objection. CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: SoundExchange 15 I've worked closely with Merlin, which 15 16 is a licensing body for independent labels. 16 Exhibit 21 is admitted. 17 I'm on the board of Video Performance 17 (SoundExchange Exhibit No. 21 was 18 Limited, which administers the full cost and 18 admitted into evidence.) 19 performance rights of music videos in the U.K. 19 BY MR. CHOUDHURY: 20 across the entire industry. 20 Mr. Wheeler, what are the record labels 21 And I'm also on the board of 21 that comprise the Beggars Group? 22 Consolidated Independent, which is a technical 22 We're a small -- we're a set of a small 23 services company for independent labels. 23 number of labels. Consists of 4AD Records, Matador Q. And besides your work at Beggars Group 24 Records, Rough Trade Records, EX Recordings. And 25 and the associations, organizations you just named, 25 recently we've added young Turks records to our 1196 1198 1 have you otherwise been involved in the music 1 group of companies. 2 industry? And how many artists are signed A. I've been fortunately enough that I've 3 currently to Beggars Group labels? 4 worked in music all my life. I've never done At any one point in time, there's 5 anything else. I've been a performer, musician, 5 roughly about a hundred artists currently signed to 6 remixer, studio producer, engineer. I've played 6 our labels. 7 live. I was signed to the Beggars Group labels 7 About how many sound records do Beggars 8 very briefly too. Group labels release in a typical year? Q. And are you still a performer? In a regular year, we aim to release 10 A. I don't have time for that anymore, 10 somewhere in the region of 40, maybe 45 albums. So 11 unfortunately. I realized that I was better at the 11 that probably comprises around about 500 sounds 12 business side of things than I was at the music recordings. We have a catalog of just over 20,000 13 side of things. 13 sound recordings in total. 14 Me too. 14 Q. And who are some of these artist in the 15 I'm going to ask you to take a look at 15 Beggars Group? 16 the written direct testimony. I'm going to ask you 16 We work with a very diverse range of 17 artists from the smallest to the biggest. Some of 17 to take a look at the document in front of you, 18 SoundExchange Trial Exhibit 21. our artists would be Adele, Vampire Weekend, The 19 Do you recognize this document? xx, Alabama Shakes, The Pixies, The Cult, Queens of 20 Yes, I do. A. the Stone Age, and many, many more, which are much 21 Q. What is it? 21 smaller and less likely to be known by this court. 22 It's my testimony to this court for 22 And let me ask you: Did you sign these 23 proceedings on behalf of SoundExchange. 23 acts after they were famous? 24 Did you prepare this testimony? No. It's our business model to find 25 A. Yes, I did. 25 artists before anyone knows about them. So when we

1199 1201 1 first discovered Adele, which is quite a few years Q. Have you seen any changes in the 2 ago now, she was 16 years old. She was still at 2 features that interactive service have offered over 3 school. She was playing gigs, and I saw her 3 the last five years? 4 upstairs in a back room of a pub in East London, A. Yeah. There's a constant stream of 4 and she was absolutely awesome. 5 innovation really. I think well enough to mine the Q. She may still be. data, making the services overly more Let me ask you how does the Beggars sophisticated, there's been a move towards more Group compare to other independent record mood programming where consumers can select, "I'm companies? in a coffee shop. I'm working. Give me a 9 A. The Beggars Group is in a pretty unique 10 soundtrack to this," hit the button and get a 11 position in the industry. We've been in existence constant stream of music which they can do their 12 for around about 40 years. We have probably the work to or homework or whatever their activity, go catalog of most successful and influential music 13 to the gym, relax in the morning, those types of coming from the independent sector. 14 14 things. 15 We have had historically a number of 15 Q. I'm testifying at the CRB --16 hugely successful artists, which has enabled us to 16 A. Yeah. That would be --17 get to the position that we are. 17 -- in the afternoon. 18 We have offices in 13 counties around 18 -- a fairly dull soundtrack, to be A. 19 the world. We employ about 160 people around the 19 honest. world. So for an independent label, we are 20 Q. Let me ask you --21 definitely quite a large organization. 21 JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you have dirges in 22 Are you supportive of the independent 22 your repertoire? 23 record community in general? 23 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't think you We have a reputation of being quite 24 would have any of our repertoire in it. 25 altruistic when it comes to the independent sector. 25 BY MR. CHOUDHURY: 1200 1202 1 My chairman and the group has been instrumental in Q. Let me ask you, well, what changes in 2 setting up independent trade bodies to start within 2 futures, if any, have you seen in the product 3 the U.K. but also in the U.S. and across Europe. 3 offerings of non-interactive services over the last 4 And it has definitely a good reputation for looking 4 five years? 5 after the independent sector and not just of our Well, like I said, they're trying to 6 own interests. 6 make the services deliver more and more what the Mr. Wheeler, let me ask you, in the consumer wants, keep it on the services, make it 8 course of your position, do you follow the product sticky. So if you're talking sort of more offerings of streaming services? personalized radio type of services, that's the 10 I do. That's a core part of my job. 10 mood-based, the genre-based we were just talking 11 Well, so what changes have you seen in 11 about. 12 the product offerings of -- let's start with 12 Q. Can you think of an example? 13 interactive streaming services over the last five 13 Songza was an interesting company, 14 years? 14 which was acquired by Google last year and brought 15 A. We find that old music services are 15 into the Google Play offering and the Beats music 16 looking to innovate and provide different and more 16 service uses curation and mood as a really key part 17 sophisticated services to their users, trying to of their offering for consumers. 18 provide best possible music experience. 18 Now, was Beats music a noninteractive Q. 19 We find in that there's so much data 19 or interactive service? 20 been created over the years, that that data, when 20 That's an interactive service. 21 mined, can really be honing in on what the users 21 Q. You said it had a mood-based curation 22 want so as to provide a much more targeted music 22 offering? 23 experience and giving the users much more what 23 Yeah. I think the on-demand, fully 24 they -- I guess they don't know they want but 24 interactive services all started to offer more of 25 actually what they're happy to listen to. 25 this passive, lean-back type of experiences.

	If ic. Determination of it		
	1	1203	124
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	They're less work for the consumers. As I say, they can just hit a button and get a constant stream of music that they like. Q. Well, can you think of any example, besides Beats, of an interactive service that has offered this kind of experience you're describing? A. I think pretty much all services, Spotify, Rdio, Xbox, I think they all have that type of functionality nowadays. Q. Mr. Wheeler, your testimony, I believe you say customized webcasting may substitute for subscription-based models. Why? A. Well, as I've just been explaining, the more sophisticated recommendations get, the more tuned in to each individual user that these services get, the more that they're delivering the music that each individual user would like to hear. So I think the more targeted and the more perfect that gets, the less need the consumers going to have to say upgrade to a premium offering because he's getting enough music. And I think that's the phrase that I hear quite a lot in talking to our peers in the		Notary Public Notary Public My Commission Expires: May 16, 2016 Response 1 2 3
21 22	going to have to say upgrade to a premium offering because he's getting enough music.	2:	1 2
24			4
	1	1204	
2 3 4 5	music to mean the consumers don't feel they need to pay for a more sophisticated or an advanced services. Q. Well, let me ask you, have you seen any other information or evidence in the market to support that deal?		
9 10	A. Well, interestingly, what we've seen with one of the services is that, in the United States, the usage on that service is 20 percent higher than the usage we see in other countries,		
11 12 13	you know, particularly across the European countries. And that can only lead us to believe		
14 15 16 17	that consumers in the United States are, on the whole, experiencing a more laid-back lean-back type of experience, you know, more of a give me a feed of the music I like type of experience.		
18 19 20	MR. CHOUDHURY: Your Honor, at this time I actually need to move into a restricted section.		
21 22 23	CHIEF JUDGE BARNETT: Anyone in the courtroom who didn't sign a nondisclosure certificate, please exit.		
24 25	(THIS ENDS PUBLIC SESSION) (RESTRICTED SESSION BOUND SEPARATELY	Y)	

	rag	,6 1	
0	1199:2 1240:16	947:15	40 948:7 1198:10
06840 948:8	160 1199:19	202-783-4141	1199:12
	1615 947:18	948:16	400 947:19
1 10 950:12 953:23	1675 947:22	203-966-4770 948:9	415-318-1211 948:5
956:7,11,13 1098:11 1105:25	1776 947:14 948:11	204 947:7	45 1198:10
1108:5,6 1115:4	18 1105:25	205 948:8	4AD 1197:23
1001 948:19	1117:19	21 950:14 1196:18	4-PUBLIC 946:8
10019 947:23	194 1100:10,14	1197:10,16,17	
1006 950:12,13	1997 1194:18	212-310-8000	5
101 948:3		947:12	500 1198:11
10153 947:11	2	212-484-3900 947:23	5034 950:18
1032 950:14	20 968:20 1095:16 1100:15 1204:9	213-683-9107	5035 950:19
1087 950:4	20,000 1198:12	947:5	5036 950:19
1092-1118 946:9	20,000 1198.12 20004 948:19	218 1097:20,22	5046 950:17
11 1115:14	20004 948:19 20006 947:15	1098:10,16	5048 950:18
1107 950:4	948:12	23 947:7	6
1121 950:17	20036 947:19	2300 948:4,15	615-242-9999
1125 950:18	20037 948:16	26 1095:9	947:8
1134 950:18	2005 960:20	27 1095:13	65 1095:13
1138 950:19	2008 1092:22	28 1095:10	
1155 950:19 1155 950:5	2012 1094:24		7 7 1098:10 1104:10
1155-1159 946:9	2013 971:15	3 3 960:23	700 948:15
1168 950:3	2014 962:7	į	767 947:11
1172 950:3	1155:19	30 946:14 1095:2 1110:20	
1186 950:19	1157:21,25	30-day 965:13	7-day 965:13
1188 950:4	2015 946:14	30-second 974:20	8
1189 950:5	1155:23 1156:2,3 1157:1	35 950:14	80 968:19,20
1193 950:8	1158:13	355 947:4	969:12
1193 930.8 1193-1204 946:10	2016 1240:16	36 950:12	85 1095:21
1193-1204 940.10 1197 950:14	2016-2020 946:7	37 950:13	9
13 1104:10	2019 1158:13	37203 947:8	9 1100:14
1112:21 1199:18	202-204-4527 948:20	38 950:13 1094:21	9:00 946:17
15 950:19		1095:12	90071 947:4
15-CRB-0001- WR 946:7	202-326-7992 947:20	39 954:12 955:8 3rd 947:4	90s 958:1
15-day 965:13	202-719-7008	VAM 217.T	94105 948:4
16 1114:1,2	948:12	4	946-979 946:8
	202-719-7453		

	1 48	<u></u> _	
952 950:3	acts 1198:23	affairs 952:15	alternatives 964:4
956 950:12	actual 974:24	affect 967:11	altruistic 1199:25
	actually 954:17	979:6 1100:20	am 1118:19
A	955:7,23 958:2	affected 1101:1,9	1155:4 1193:25
a.m 946:17	960:8,25 966:23	affects 1099:22	1195:10
A2IM 1195:14	1107:9 1113:12 1115:22 1116:11	afraid 1118:19	1240:5,8
Aaron 950:2	1200:25 1204:19	afternoon	Amendment 950:19
951:17,24 952:7	ad 1105:2 1106:23	1107:23,24	American 1195:14
ability 961:13 972:2 1104:15	1112:20	1193:19 1201:17	amount 977:16
1240:5	1113:18,24	against 963:17	1104:16
able 961:17	adapt 963:5	967:20	Amplified.com
963:1,5 965:14	adapting 962:22	Age 1198:20	1194:19,25
967:17 1155:5	add 969:25 973:5	aggressively 962:12	analysis 1116:20
ABLIN 948:11	1095:12,25	·	analyst 1113:10
above-entitled	added 1197:25	ago 973:24 1095:9 1107:18 1111:17	analysts 1156:18
946:16	addition 1092:17	1156:18 1194:11	1157:11,16
absolutely 1199:5	additional 973:5	1199:2	analyze 961:16
access 957:3,13	additive 975:10	agreement	ancillary 960:21
959:17 960:4 962:13 963:11	Adele 1198:18	950:12,13,18 976:6	Andrea 1093:6
970:2 1157:7	1199:1	agreements	Angeles 947:4
accessing 957:14	adjust 963:5 977:13	952:21 971:24	Angstreich 949:12
963:12 966:12	administers	ahead 962:20	Anjan 949:2
accidentally	1195:18	1110:4	annual 969:20
954:14,20	admission 1197:9	aim 1198:9	answer 961:5
account 978:16	admitted 950:10	Alabama 1198:19	962:19 975:18
accurate 1100:2,4	956:12,14	album 957:22	977:3 1094:3
1158:18	1197:16,18	958:6,15,19,25	1096:14
achieve 961:13	ads 1112:25	959:2 966:22,23	1098:20,24 1100:23 1116:5
acknowledge 1117:9 1118:6	1113:5,14,17,20	1109:12,16,18 1111:25 1112:1	answered 1093:25
	ad-supported	albums 958:9	answering
acquired 957:6 963:3 1094:23	965:7	959:11 1111:18	1096:20
1202:14	advanced 1204:2	1198:10	anticipate 975:25
acquiring 962:23	advertisements 1104:22	allowed 1112:13	Antonio 949:7
across 1195:20	advertisers	allows 1111:24	anymore 1196:10
1200:3 1204:11	1105:16	1112:4,9	anyone 979:19
action 1097:11	advertising	alphabetical 955:25	1118:21 1198:25
actions 1098:20	1104:20 1105:16		1204:21
activity 1201:12	advise 952:23	already 965:11 977:22 1114:17	anything 964:2 977:3 1094:14

	Paş	ge 3	
1196:5 apologize 1097:25 1107:17 app 964:17 APPEARANCES 948:1	assume 1095:5 1104:24 assuming 1158:16 assumption 1113:4 attempt 978:15	955:5,9 956:11 979:3,19 1092:2 1094:2 1096:16 1107:15 1118:21 1158:22 1193:5,13 1194:23 1195:1	1102:24 1105:2 1106:5 1116:5 1156:11 1203:10 1204:13 believed 1158:2,8 benefit 954:11 1158:9
applies 969:16 appreciate 1096:16 approach 959:18 April 946:14 aren't 966:8	attorney 1240:8 attract 978:24 attractive 964:10,11 audience 1105:17 audio 967:10	1197:15 1204:21 base 1113:21 1118:1 based 966:24 1097:1 1102:15 1103:22 1193:25 basic 1103:25	Benet 951:21 Benjamin 949:9 besides 1195:24 1203:5 best 977:22 978:12 1200:18 1240:5
1115:1 ARENT 947:21 argument 967:19 1099:15 Arman 1093:9 Arnaud 1156:8	author 954:3 automakers 1118:11 available 957:21 958:5,6 959:7 963:7 968:3 971:5,9	1117:23 basics 1108:2 basis 954:16 957:7 958:25 959:5 964:21 966:25 969:20 1106:16	better 1196:11 beyond 1112:16 1114:17 bigger 961:16 biggest 1198:17 billion 967:25
ARPU 960:14 968:11,23 969:6,16,22 970:14,15 978:23,25 979:5,13 1104:17,19	1111:6,8,9 1118:4,10,15 Avenue 947:4,11 948:19 average 960:15 968:13 1104:17	Bay 963:18 Beats 950:12,13 1202:15,18 1203:5 become 976:11 1105:17 becoming	binder 953:22 1097:18,21 1104:6 binders 1104:5 bit 959:19 1096:1,3
artist 958:18,20 959:12 1103:16 1112:5,6 1198:14 artists 961:21 966:19,20,21 971:22	aware 1104:3 1107:8 1118:9 away 960:3 970:13 979:11 1114:22 1115:15 awesome 1199:5	1106:8,11 Beggars 1193:24 1194:5,9 1195:6,24 1196:7 1197:21 1198:3,7,15 1199:7,10	1110:22 Blavin 949:3 blip 1158:8 board 946:2 1195:17,21 bodies 1200:2
1198:2,5,17,18,2 5 1199:16 artwork 974:24 Aside 955:12 aspect 975:12 aspects 976:1 association 947:13 1195:11,12	B background 974:13 1099:25 ball 951:12 ballpark 1095:10 BARKER 948:14 BARNETT 946:21	begins 1092:1 1155:1 1193:1,3 behalf 947:2,6,9,13,16, 21 948:2,6,10,13,17 1092:25 1108:1 1196:23 believe 954:11	body 1195:16 Bollore 1156:12 Bonnie 949:15 1240:3 bottom 969:25 bouncing 1115:5 BOUND 979:23 1118:25 1204:25
associations 1195:4,25	951:5,11,23 953:1,6,8,10,15	974:7 1093:9 1099:24,25	break 966:19

	Pag	, С ±	
brief 1155:6	CANNON 948:18	certify 1240:3	1201:25 1204:18
briefly 1196:8	cap 1114:20,21	CFO 1158:1,7	Christopher 949:9
bringing 963:6	Capital 949:15	chair 1156:14,15	cited 1114:14
broadcast 1102:4 1106:20 1107:1,5	car 966:9 1118:10,16 Caraeff 1158:14	chairman 1156:8,11,13 1195:10 1200:1	claim 1115:12,19 1117:21 clamor 959:23
Broadcasters 947:13 948:10,17 Broadcasting 948:6 Broadway 947:22 brought 1202:14 BRUCE 947:10,14 Bryant 949:3 built 976:5 bundles 959:11,12	card 955:18 careful 1096:11 1157:10 carpet 966:13 carte 957:7,10 958:17,19,23 959:4,11,17 966:24 Casale 963:17 case 954:2 967:20 1117:15 1155:19	challenging 960:12 chance 954:5 1100:11 1116:16 change 956:16 957:2 961:11 963:25 1158:3 changed 959:18,19 960:13,17,19 977:9 changes 1200:11	clarifying 1155:9 clear 979:17 1111:12 clearly 1158:9 clip 974:18 clips 974:20,22 close 952:12 1092:16 1118:20 closely 970:5 1195:13,15
bundling 958:17 business	cassettes 957:8	1201:1 1202:1 channel 961:2	cloud 957:15 959:5 Cobbler's 948:7
952:14,17 969:4 971:16 972:14 973:13,14,15 1092:11 1096:15 1194:12 1196:12 1198:24	1194:21 1198:12 1199:13 causation 1116:21 cause 1116:12 cautious 1157:15	channels 963:1,2 charge 959:21,22 chart 954:15,18 956:1 959:23 charts 959:25	coffee 1201:9 Colin 949:3 colleague's 1155:6 College 948:17
button 1201:10 1203:2 buy 958:18 963:11 974:25 976:13 buyers 966:8 973:15 buying 959:4	CD 957:23,24,25 958:4,9 959:11 960:25 971:1,18 1116:22 CDs 957:8,20,21 958:15 974:1 1115:16 1116:13 CEO	chief 946:21 951:5,11,23 953:1,6,8,10,15 955:5,9 956:11 979:3,19 1092:2 1094:2 1096:16 1107:15 1118:21 1157:14 1158:22 1193:5,13	combination 977:25 1103:13 comes 1199:25 comfortable 1096:20 1105:17 coming 951:20 1110:18 1199:14 Commission
Caitlin 949:12 California 947:4 948:4 Canaan 948:8 cannibalization 975:12,20,25 976:8,9,15 977:23 978:13	1156:8,17,19,20 1157:5,7 certain 962:25 966:7 certainly 966:7 1096:17 1102:20 1156:21 1158:6 certificate 979:21 1118:23 1158:24 1204:23 1240:1	1194:23 1195:1 1197:15 1204:21 choice 1110:10 choose 1109:11 1110:3 Choudhury 949:2 950:8 1193:18 1195:2 1197:8,19	1240:15 committee 1092:18,21,24 1093:11,13,19 1094:10 1095:21 1195:11 common 1094:12,13 community 1199:23

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
companies	975:2,5,7,20	continue 965:17	1155:13,17,18,2
968:23,24 969:1	1117:6	971:23	1 1156:2
1095:23 1198:1	considerations	CONTINUED	1157:12,17,18
1199:9	976:5	948:1	1158:10,11
company 949:15			1197:6
962:2 969:25	considered	contradicts	correction 956:3,8
970:17 972:25	960:20,22	1102:21	,
1194:14,19,20	974:14,16	contribution	correctly 1104:24
1195:23 1202:13	Consists 1197:23	973:3	1105:19 1156:10
company's 958:8	Consolidated	control 964:14	corrects 956:17
1	1195:22	1095:21	corresponding
compare 1199:8	constant	convened 946:17	974:7
compete 964:13	1201:4,11		cost 977:22
1097:12 1098:21	1203:2	convenient 964:6	1195:18
1113:18 1117:22		copy 957:11	
competes 1118:7	CONSTANTINE	COPYRIGHT	costs 972:11,16,21
_	948:18	946:2,20	973:18
competing 1106:8	constantly 968:1	·	counsel 951:21
competition	977:13	core 963:24 964:3	952:3 955:15
1118:14	constituencies	1200:10	961:3 975:17
competitor 966:16	1094:16	correct 954:17	1107:21 1116:15
complaining	construct 1109:24	955:13 956:4	1155:2 1193:17
958:3	1110:9	958:21 959:13	1240:9
		972:6 973:20	counties 1199:18
complement	consumer 964:17	976:3	countries
1112:17	965:2 967:4,11	1092:6,7,11,12	1204:10,12
components 973:2	975:3 1202:7	1093:20 1094:11	•
comprise 1197:21	consumers 957:5	1096:1,2	couple 954:15 1095:8 1105:24
comprises 1198:11	960:3 962:23	1097:8,14 1099:14,16,18,1	1112:19
1 1	963:8,10 964:24	9 1101:18,19,23	
computer 957:13	965:22 966:12	1102:22,23	course 953:20
concerned	1201:8 1202:17	1102:22,23	973:11 974:3
960:6,14 976:10	1203:1,20	1105:1,2 1104:2	1200:8
conditions 965:15	1204:1,14	1107:10,11	court 951:12,14
978:7,15	consuming 957:14	1108:14,18,23	1095:9 1196:22
1	960:7	1109:7,9,10,12,1	1198:21 1240:1
conferences 1093:18 1094:9	consumption	3,25	courtroom 979:18
1	960:9 963:3	1110:1,10,18,19	1118:20,22
confidential	966:6 967:8	1111:14,15,18,1	1204:22
1096:12	970:13,14 971:4	9	Court's 1197:11
confirm 954:6	974:5 1158:4	1112:2,3,6,7,11,	
Congress 946:3	content 967:23,24	14,15	cover 955:2
	968:1,2 973:5	1113:6,7,11,15,2	972:11,16 1155:7.25
Connecticut 948:8	1099:8,9	4 1114:11,12,17	1155:7,25 1156:1 1157:2
consequence	contention 1118:1	1115:2,3,16,17,2	
1097:7		3,24 1116:22	covered 1110:8
consider 959:3	context 979:9	1117:7,8	1155:7,20
970:10 974:10	1103:12	1118:4,5	

	Pag	ge b	
covering 973:18 CRB 1093:19 1094:10 1201:15 create 973:5,10 1115:9 created 1200:20 creates 1115:8 creating 973:3 creation 961:20 970:18 973:1 creativity 973:18 creator 973:19 credibly 967:21 CROSS 950:2,6 cross-examination 1097:18 1107:21	947:15,19 948:12,16.19 data 977:11 1102:15,21 1105:1 1114:18 1200:19,20 1201:6 David 946:22 948:14,18 949:9 Davis 949:7 day 968:2 days 1095:9 1194:7 de 1156:8 deal 974:15,20 975:19 976:18 978:4,10	demonstrating 1118:2 department 1194:9 Depending 1109:5 depends 976:18 1103:12 1104:16 deposition 1093:25 1095:1,4 1097:11,23 1098:2,17 1100:6,18 1103:22 1113:9 describe 954:9 957:2 described 973:23 975:21 976:2	959:18 960:2 968:18,19,20,22 969:7,8,9,16 975:9,19 976:2,9,14 977:9,23 1155:14 1194:1,3,9,11,13 ,21 diminished 1115:8 DIR 950:2,6 direct 952:3 954:1 974:12 976:1,8 977:19,20 978:22 1094:19 1096:5 1104:7 1110:22 1114:24,25 1115:20,25
1155:2 1193:7,11 Cult 1198:19	1117:15 1194:18,21 1204:6 deals 953:18 975:7	973:21 976:2 978:2 describing 1203:6 description 978:1	1117:1,3,15,17 1193:17 1196:16 directly 957:12
Cunniff 949:5 curation 1202:16,21 current 1155:10,15	1094:15 1117:3,7,17 decide 959:8 965:17	designated 1098:2 desire 963:10 desktop 1109:9 1111:6,9,12	1106:9 director 1194:1,3 dirges 1201:21 discovered 1199:1
currently 1113:14 1155:11 1193:22,24 1198:3,5	decided 1194:10 decision 1099:14 Deck 950:17,18 decline 971:18 1114:23 1116:12	details 1097:3 determination 946:7 958:8,9 determine 968:15	discovery 1103:19 discussed 970:3 discussion 1093:17
custom 1101:22 1102:13 1107:6 customers 1115:14 customization	1155:12,20,22 1156:1 1157:3,20 declined 974:9	develop 961:21 device 957:12,17 die 1092:3 differ 1117:11	discussions 1093:18 dispense 1194:11 distinguishing 961:5
1102:25 customized 1106:7 1108:8,13,16 1115:8 1203:11 cut 1099:3	declining 971:13 1116:6,22 definitely 963:15 972:24 1199:21 1200:4 delay 951:7	different 954:18 974:2 977:7 1094:16 1106:25 1117:16 1200:16 differentiate 975:14	distributing 961:23 distribution 1194:16,21 diverse 1198:16
Cynthia 949:5 D D.C 946:4,13	deliver 1202:6 delivering 1203:17,25	digital 946:9 952:17,21,25 957:16,21	DJs 966:20 DMCA 967:18 968:4

	Pag	3e 7	
Docket 946:6	easy 964:6	enabled 1199:16	1116:1,10
document 956:12	economic 964:11	end-product	1197:18 1204:5
1196:17,19	ecosystem 975:9	966:6	EX 1197:24
done 962:22	976:2 977:9	engineer 1196:6	examination
963:22 1099:24	Educational	engrained	950:2,6 952:3
1107:9 1196:4	948:13	1194:13	1193:3,17
Donna 949:11	effect 974:15,17	entertainment	example
Donnelly 949:5	975:20 976:1	966:14	976:12,14,15,17.
door 964:25	978:13,14	entire 952:22	21 1202:12 1203:4
doubt 1193:10	1099:22 1105:6	959:3 1103:12	
download	effects 974:11,19	1109:15 1195:20	exceeded 971:18
959:1,3,4 960:4	975:2,5 977:7	Ephemeral 946:8	exceptions 959:8
961:2 971:13,15	Ehler 949:2 950:3	equally 972:15,20	excuse 955:15
976:14 1097:15	951:15,16 952:4	equivalent	975:17 1107:18
downloads 957:9	953:2,9,13,17 955:7,10,11,17,2	1195:14	Executed 950:19
969:9,16 971:1	2	era 957:21	exhibit
974:1 976:9,19 977:24 1115:15	956:2,6,19,23,25	error 954:7,10	950:12,13,14,17, 18,19 953:23
1116:13,22	959:15 961:10 962:17 967:2	especially 971:14	956:7,11,13
1155:12,14,21,2	969:21 973:22	ESQUIRE	1196:18
2 1156:1	978:19 979:4,16	947:3,10,14,18,2	1197:2,10,16,17
1157:3,20	1092:8 1093:21	2	EXHIBITS
1158:8	1096:11 1097:25	948:3,7,11,14,18	950:10
draft 952:21	1098:6,9,12 1099:20	estimated 1095:1	existence 1199:11
drags 967:14	1100:11,12,16	Ethan 949:7	exit 1204:23
dramatically	1104:7 1114:25	Europe 1200:3	expect 974:1
957:24 971:17	1115:25	European 1204:11	1114:22
Draper 949:3	Ehler's 951:13	Evan 949:11	expected 1156:2
drastically 971:14	Elgin 949:13	EVANS 947:17	experience 965:16
drawing 1115:14	1193:6,7	event 1107:4	1104:15 1116:24
drive 979:12	Elisabeth 949:9	everybody 954:23	1200:18,23 1203:6
driver 971:16	else 955:13 1196:5	966:3	1203:0
drives 973:17	E-Mail 950:19	everybody's	experiences
dull 1201:18	EMI 1094:23	954:11	1202:25
duly 951:25	emphasis 969:15	everyone 1194:12	experiencing
during 953:20	employ 1199:19	everything 955:13	1204:15
958:12	employed	1194:14	Expires 1240:15
	1193:22,24	evidence 950:10	explained 974:16
<u>E</u>	1240:6,9	956:7,14 971:10	explaining
earlier 968:11 970:3 1156:13	employee 1240:8	977:10 1105:5	1203:14
	employees 1194:8	1114:8,13 1115:20	explicitly 974:14
East 947:7 1199:4		1110.20	

	1 46	3e 0	
exploitation	Fifth 947:11	958:6,15,16	generally 954:9
961:19 977:16	FIGEL 947:17	format 957:25	959:6 960:9
extent 958:14	fight 963:16	fortunately	970:21,25 974:14 979:12
1093:22,25 1096:12	figure 975:13	1196:3	
1090.12	final 976:5	Foundation	generate 963:4 969:24 970:9
F	financial 972:7	948:13	971:20
face 951:20 964:15	1157:8,14	Fourtou 1156:14	1104:18,19
fact 972:18	financially	FOX 947:21	generated 965:24
1101:20 1106:7	1240:10	Francisco 948:4	generating 960:12
1108:16 1116:5 1118:13	financials 1157:16	free	970:11
factor 961:16	finding 1097:7	964:14,18,21,23	genre-based
1117:1	fine 1116:15	965:3,13 1109:8 1110:21	1202:10
factors 1103:3	fingertips 971:9	1111:3,5,8,13,20	GEO 947:6
fair 977:24	finish 951:8	1113:24	GEORGE 947:6,7
978:16,17	finished 1155:24	1114:9,11,21	gets 970:17 1203:20
1095:19	Finkelstein 1093:6	frequency 1104:22 1112:25	
1097:2,4	first 951:17,25	friend's 1110:13	getting 964:18 975:3 1094:14
fairly 960:8 967:19 1201:18	953:22 957:7		1095:20 1096:12
Fakler 947:22	964:25 1097:21	front 1196:17	1097:2 1099:2
956:10	1104:13 1109:1 1112:1 1157:25	full 971:7 1194:4 1195:18	1203:22
1193:10,14	1194:15,18,20	full-track 975:2	gigs 1199:3
fallen 962:1 963:1	1199:1	fully 950:19	given 972:13,15 1096:8,25
falling 962:9	fit 967:4 975:8	1111:13 1202:23	giving 1200:23
971:17	five 1200:13	full-year 1158:13	GLENN 947:3
familiar 1158:15	1201:3 1202:4	functionality	goal 963:15
famous 1198:23	fix 956:21,23	978:2 1103:6,7	972:23,24
fast 1155:12	flat 962:6	1203:9	goals 964:9
feature 1103:15	flip 1105:24	funding 972:25	972:10,13
1112:8	Floor 947:4	funneled 970:17	GOLDEN 948:18
features 965:14 1103:9 1111:16	focus 959:25 960:5	future 973:7	Google 1202:14,15
1201:2	972:25	1093:2 1158:3	GOTSHAL
February 1156:18	focused 959:21 965:25	futures 1202:2	947:10
FEDER 946:23	focusing 1113:21	G	Grand 947:4
1097:22	folks 960:1	game 974:21,23	greatly 960:19
feed 1204:17	forced 968:5	gauge 968:14	Green 948:7
feel 1204:1	•	969:3	Greer 949:5
feels 1096:18	foregoing 1240:4	general 951:21	Gregory 949:7
fell 957:24	forgotten 1158:23	971:11 973:23	group 951:18
	form 957:22	1199:23	952:9,11,16,23,2

	1 a	ge 9	
4 1114:15 1193:25 1194:9	9 957:1 975:19 1092:5	honest 1201:19	1196:15,16 1201:8,9,15
1195:6,24	1096:14,17	honing 1200:21	iMesh 963:21
1196:7 1197:21 1198:1,3,8,15 1199:8,10	1107:23 1155:4 Harrison's 956:7	Honor 951:15,19 979:2,16 1093:21	immediately 1092:13
1200:1 Group's 1155:11 1158:13	Harvard 948:6 haven't 965:25 971:2 1102:20	1107:13,20 1118:18 1158:21 1193:2,6 1197:9	impact 961:13 976:19,20 979:5 1117:10,12
grow 962:15 975:15 1105:16	1107:8 1114:14 having 977:13	1204:18 hope 963:14 975:9 1158:6	importance 960:16 961:12
growing 960:7 1113:21 1155:11	1104:3 head 949:13	hopefully 965:15 966:22 975:15	important 961:1,4 969:23
growth 968:17	1194:6	hour 1112:1	impossible 968:3
971:16 974:7 1114:20,22	hear 1108:23 1109:4 1111:25	hours 1114:21	inaccuracies 954:6
1155:20,25	1112:5,11 1203:18,24	HUBER 947:17	Inc 947:9,16
1157:1 1158:10	hearing 946:16	huge 970:9	incentive 973:9
guarantee 1096:7	979:20 1092:2	hugely 1199:16	include 1106:22
guess 1104:12 1117:18 1200:24	help 962:15	hundred 953:20 1198:5	included 1110:14
guys 1095:20	hereby 1240:3		includes 1092:24
gym 1201:13	hereto 1240:9	I	1094:23 1155:15
	he's 956:21,23	iHeart 1193:8	including 978:11
H habits 1092:3	1093:10 1203:22 hierarchy	iHeartMedia 947:16 949:10	incorporate 977:21
half 962:6 1155:16	1102:15,21	iHeartRadio	increase 1106:6
Hall 949:12	high 969:22	1113:17	1113:13 1118:14
hand 963:16,18	1099:7 higher 970:14,15	1155:2,5 I'll 978:9 1099:3	increased 974:9 1113:24 1116:8
handling 1193:4	978:25 979:13	1100:10 1107:18	increasingly
HANSEN	1096:1	1110:8 1193:10	1118:10
947:17,18	1101:2,3,10,17 1204:10	illegitimate 963:17	independent
happen 1157:1	highest 1099:18	I'm 951:7 953:1	1195:7,8,11,12,1
happened 1193:14	historically 957:5	962:20 970:20 972:17 979:16	6,22,23 1199:8,14,20,22,
happy 1200:25	1199:15	1097:25 1101:4	25 1200:2,5
Harbor 967:18	hit 972:8 977:15	1104:3,12	indicate 1096:17
hard 963:24 964:3,4 973:14 1092:3	1103:16 1201:10 1203:2	1106:3 1107:7,18,25 1108:1,5	indicated 1096:9 1117:6
Harrison 949:9 950:2,12 951:18,24 952:7 953:3,12,13,14,1	home 966:13 homework 1201:12	1109:21 1115:5 1118:19 1155:5 1158:20 1193:24 1195:17,21	individual 958:20,24 966:24 1108:9 1203:16,18

	rag	,e 10	
industry 958:8,13 959:20 960:10 962:5,22 972:17 1102:17,19 1116:23,24 1158:3 1195:5,20 1196:2 1199:11 1203:25 inference 1099:11 influence 1103:22 influential 1199:13 information 954:9 962:19 979:17 1093:22 1096:15 1098:9 1197:5 1204:5 initial 976:22 innovate 1200:16	interesting 1202:13 interestingly 1204:7 interests 1200:6 interim 1156:15 Internet 1102:4 1115:8 interrogatories 1158:17 interviews 966:21 introduce 951:20 1193:11 inverse 1099:7 invest 970:18 investment 961:14,17 971:21	iTunes 969:19 976:13 IV 946:9 I've 1195:15 1196:3,4,5,6 1203:14 J Jackson 949:5 1193:9 January 1157:20 Jean-Rene 1156:13 Jeff 1093:6 Jennifer 949:3,13 1193:7 JESSE 946:23 Jillian 949:14	976:7,24 977:2,17 978:3,8,18 979:3,19 1092:2 1094:2 1096:16 1097:22 1106:15 1107:2,15 1116:25 1118:21 1158:22 1193:5,9,13 1194:23 1195:1 1197:15 1201:21 1204:21 JUDGES 946:20 June 949:3 justified 1103:1 K KARYN 948:11 KELLOGG
innovation 1201:5 inputs 1104:4 instance 963:21,23 973:12 instead 964:18 instrumental 1195:7 1200:1 integrations 1118:11 intent 958:12 interactive 961:5 977:21 1096:4 1103:10,11 1108:3 1200:13 1201:2 1202:19,20,24 1203:5 interactivity 1101:2,10,14,17 Intercollegiate 948:6 interested 966:8 1240:10	investors 1156:18 1157:11,17 involved 1195:3 1196:1 1240:7 isn't 1106:11 1117:14 1155:13 issue 976:10 issues 952:25 item 959:5 it's 954:6,12 955:7,18 959:19 960:19,24,25 963:3 967:9 968:3,14 971:5,6,7 972:15,24 973:14 974:13,18 1093:22 1095:19 1096:12 1098:10 1099:6,11,25 1102:18 1107:10 1108:7 1113:14 1117:18 1196:22 1198:24	job 962:22 963:23 1092:9 1194:4 1200:10 John 949:11 1155:4 JOHNSON 947:6,7 joining 1092:13 Jonathan 949:3 Joseph 947:14 949:7 Judge 946:21,22,23 951:5,8,10,11,23 953:1,6,8,10,15 955:5,9,15,21 956:11,15,21 956:11,15,21 957:19 958:7,14 961:3,9 962:8 963:9 964:8 965:5,20 967:1 969:5,13,15 972:1,9,15 973:8,17,21 975:17,23	947:17 Kelly 949:2 KENNETH 948:3 Kevin 949:11 key 1202:16 KING 948:2 Klaus 949:2 KNAUER 948:14 known 1198:21 Kooker 1095:8 Kuruvilla 949:3 L la 957:7,10 958:17,19,23 959:4,11,17 966:24 label 1199:20 labels 952:24 959:22 960:1,22 967:21 970:17 1193:25 1195:13,16,23

	ı aş	I	
1196:7	LeMoine 949:2	969:25	1097:8,16
1197:20,23	·	1098:10,11	1101:13,14
1198:3,6,8	Leo 949:11	1100:14,15	1102:25 1103:8
laid-back 1204:15	Leslie 949:12 1193:8	lines 1100:12	lowered 1097:5
landscape 967:5	less 976:13	link 974:25	
large 1117:23	1101:18	listen 966:5	M
1199:21	1103:10,18	974:22	Main 948:8
largest 967:6	1105:3 1198:21	1108:14,18	mainly 1099:6
1	1203:1,20	1109:12,15,18	maintain 971:20
Larraondo-	let's 978:4 1096:3	1110:3 1200:25	972:2,4
Klipper 949:2	1104:13 1105:24	listener 976:11	major 1097:7
Larson 949:9	1108:1 1115:4	listeners 957:14	o
950:4 956:9	1200:12	965:22 1116:7	MALONE 948:7
1107:19,20,22,2	level 962:4 971:21		950:3
5 1118:18	972:4 977:15	listening 957:11 966:14 1112:2	MANGES 947:10
last 955:8 957:10	1101:2,10,13	1116:11	manipulate
962:3,5 963:16	levels 1101:17		1106:24
971:14 974:6 1105:25 1106:3	Lewis 949:7	listens 966:3 1110:12	MARK 947:18
1114:3 1115:7	· ·		marked 953:23
1116:6 1197:1	Library 946:3	litigation 1093:20 1094:11	market 967:14
1200:13 1201:3	license 967:22		973:24 974:2
1202:3,14	968:6 975:13	little 1096:1,3	975:15 1094:21
late 958:1	977:19 978:22 1117:3	live 1196:7	1095:14,22
later 955:3		LLP	1204:5
1108:25	licensed 1094:16	947:3,10,13,21	marketing 960:1
	licenses 974:12	948:2,10,14	961:23
law 1092:14	1094:10	load 1105:2	
lawyer 1092:17	licensing 959:18	1112:20	marketplace 975:6 977:14
1157:12	974:19	1113:19,24	979:9
lead 1204:13	1092:18,24	loan 1104:20	979.9 Marks 949:9
Leading 979:1	1093:10,13,19 1095:21 1097:13	local 957:16	1193:3 1197:11
lean-back 1202:25	1194:16 1195:16	Logo 952:17	Martha 949:2
1204:15	life 957:25 1196:4	Logo 932.17 London 1193:25	Martin 949.2
learning 977:8		1199:4	
least 968:9 971:18	light 1113:18	long 952:10	masses 1158:4
1101:21,25	likely 976:13 1198:21	Los 947:4	Matador 1197:23
1102:7 1114:15			matched 1097:15
leave 1193:15	likewise 1155:23 1157:14	lot 964:20 967:9 969:19 1108:3	material 1118:19
legal 952:15	Limewire 963:17	1203:24	materials 1158:21
963:12 964:4,9 965:7,8 1092:10	limited 963:24	lots 970:8	math 1095:19
	1102:25 1195:18	low 965:1 978:23	matter 946:16
legitimate 964:13	line 954:18	lower 962:4	maximization
legitimize 963:19	955:18,19 956:1	978:23 979:7	972:23
	755.10,17 750.1	710.43 717.1	

	rag		
maximize 963:6	metrics 968:24	morning	Nashville 947:8
may 978:20	Michael 949:13	951:5,9,15,23	National 947:13
1110:7 1155:8	mid 958:1	1193:20 1201:13	948:2,10
1193:3 1199:6	middle 1102:13	move 968:17	nature 1093:24
1203:11 1240:16	1117:20	979:17 1197:9 1201:7 1204:19	1116:18
maybe 962:6 1100:15 1198:10	migrate 965:3,23	moved 957:20	necessarily 964:3
	966:17,22		970:11,12
mean 957:4 958:23 962:1,21	migration 965:6	moving 960:3 962:12	negative 978:14
964:12 966:2	966:1		negotiate 952:21
967:14	Miller 949:11	multitude 964:18	975:6
968:12,17 971:4	1107:17	MUNGER 947:3	negotiated 953:18
974:3 976:16	Mindful 1197:11	music 947:6,7	976:6
977:9 1106:21 1108:12 1204:1	mine 1201:5	951:18	negotiating
	mined 1200;21	952:9,11,22,23,2 4 957:6,14 959:7	974:12 978:22
meaning 957:15 971:12 1099:7		960:8,10	negotiations
	minimum 1096:7	961:18,20,22,23	975:24
meaningful 1114:15	minute 968:1	962:23,24	1096:4,25
	973:24	963:3,7,11,12,20	niche 960:21
means 961:15 970:4	mistake 956:18	964:2,5 965:3,4 966:5,8,12 967:8	nondisclosure
media 947:9	mistakes 956:20	968:8,20	979:20 1118:23
948:13 951:22	mistranscribed	970:18,19,24	1158:24 1204:22
961:18 968:24	954:14	971:8,9,21,24	none 1112:13
1107:21 1108:1	mix 963:2,5	973:1,4,5,10,24	noninteractive
1194:6 1195:10	mobile	977:16 1094:21 1095:14,22	961:6 1202:18
meet 972:10	1111:6,10,21	1099:4 1114:3	non-interactive
1093:14	model 957:3,11,13	1155:10 1158:12	1202:3
meeting 972:21	959:17 960:5	1195:4,11,19	Notary 1240:13
meetings 1095:21	962:13 971:4 1158:4 1198:24	1196:1,4,12	nothing 952:1
Melinda 949:2		1199:13 1200:15,18,22	nowadays 1203:9
mention 972:10,18	models 970:2 1203:12	1200:13,18,22	NPR 949:6
mentioned		1202:15,18	
1103:21 1156:4	moment 1111:17 1155:17	1203:3,18,22	<u>O</u>
1157:19	monetize 968:9	1204:1,17	objection 956:9,10
mentions 1110:21		musician 1196:5	979:1 1093:21
merchandise	money 969:1 970:6,16 973:4	myself 1193:12	1197:12,13,14
1099:9	970:0,10 973:4	1194:10 1195:10	obligation 1157:10
Merlin 1195:15	monologue 951:9		
met 1107:25	mood 1103:22	N.W 947:14,18	obstructionist 1098:1
method 967:8	1201:8 1202:16	948:11,15,19	
	mood-based	NAB 949:13	obvious 972:13,16,20,21
metric 960:13 968:14	1202:10,21	1193:7	occasion
700.17			occasion

	Pag	e 13	
1096:8,24	online 1194:16	1100:8,10	963:20 1097:16
offer 956:6 965:12	open 953:22	1104:10 1105:25	Patrick 949:5
1099:14,23	1095:9 1099:24	1108:5 1197:1	Paul 947:22
1100:21 1158:12	1155:1	pages 946:8,9,10	1193:10
1202:24	opposed 957:16,22	1105:24	pay 965:12 971:22
offered 1201:2	958:15	paid 963:4 964:19	1114:4,16
1203:6	963:11,12	965:3 1111:3	1115:1 1204:2
offering 1097:8	1092:10 1107:5	Pandora 947:9	
1202:15,17,22	opposite 974:8	949:8 950:16	paying 965:18 1155:16
1203:21	optimal 977:15	951:22 1107:21	
offerings	options 964:7	1108:1,17 1109:11	payments 972:3 peers 1203:24
1200:9,12	order 968:6	1110:7,9,12,13,1	^
1202:3	971:20 972:2	7 1113:13,16,22	Pennsylvania
officer 1157:15	1101:22 1112:11	1114:3,9,10,11,1	948:19
offices 1199:18	1113:18 1158:3	6,19 1115:21,22	people 966:11
offset 1155:12	organization	1118:2,4,6,9,15	967:7,10 1115:1
Oh 955:21 1101:6	1199:21	1155:16 1193:4	1158:4 1199:19
	organizations	Pandora's	per 960:13,15
okay 953:10	1195:4,8,25	1113:18	968:13,25 969:2
962:21 977:1 978:3,18 1095:8	· · ·	paragraph 954:12	970:6,11 977:18
1097:5,10,17	original 1106:19	955:7,8	1104:17
1098:13	originally 956:17	1104:10,14	perceived 965:2
1099:10,13	others 1095:25	1105:25 1106:4	1099:21 1100:19
1100:5,16	otherwise 1114:4	1108:6 1110:20	percent 960:23
1104:11 1105:1	1118:3 1196:1	1112:21	962:6
1106:3 1111:1	outline 1193:20	1114:1,2 1115:4,13	968:19,20,21
1118:21 1158:22	outside 966:12	1117:19,21	969:12 1094:21 1095:2,10,12,13,
1197:8	979:21 1118:23	particular 954:24	17,21 1204:9
Olasa 949:3	1158:25	959:9 962:16	percentage 977:18
old 1092:3	overall 975:9,16	974:15 975:8	•
1107:18 1199:2	978:4,10	1100:22 1103:16	perception
1200:15	·	1104:18	1102:16,18
OLSON 947:3	overly 1201:6	1108:14,17	perfect 1203:20
on-demand	Overruled 1094:2	1109:3,12	perfectly 972:20
1101:17,18,23	owned 972:7	1111:18,25	performance
1102:10 1106:9	1156:4	particularly	946:9 977:11,18
1109:2,14	ownership 957:2	1204:11	1112:17
1111:8,13	959:16	parties 1240:6,9	1195:17,19
1117:12,17,21	OXENFORD	partner 1117:3	performer
1118:3 1202:23	948:14	Partnership	1196:5,9
one-by-one 957:10		950:14	perhaps 976:10
958:24 959:5 966:25	P	1	period 960:17
	page 950:11	passive 1202:25	964:22 965:19
one-to-one 1107:6	1097:20 1098:16	past 959:20	70

	1		
periods 971:18	playlist	practice 1092:14	produced 1158:16
permanent 957:9	1108:20,25	prediction	producer 1196:6
1115:15 1116:12 personalized 1106:8,11	1109:1,25 1110:3,4,10 1112:9,10	1105:21 1156:3 1157:8 predictions	product 958:24,25 960:21 971:5,7 973:19 975:3
1202:9	playlists 1109:24	1105:15	1114:10
phone 957:13 phones 966:13	plays 979:10,11 please 951:6 952:5	predominantly 957:21	1200:8,12 1202:2
1111:6 phrase 1203:23	962:20 979:21 1097:20 1118:23 1158:25 1204:23	premium 1109:6 1203:21	profit 972:4,10,19,23 973:16,17
physical 958:2,4 961:1 968:18,21	PLLC 947:17	preparation 1095:5	profitable 1097:2
971:13 pick	point 964:11 967:3 1100:7 1158:21	prepare 1196:24 PRESENT 949:1	profit-making 973:13
1108:14,17,19,2 2 1109:3,24	1194:22 1198:4 pointed 1112:23	Presentation	profits 961:17 973:8
1111:17,25 1112:5	Pomerantz 947:3 951:11,13	950:17,18 president 952:14,19,20	programming 1106:19,20,24 1201:8
piece 1098:9 piracy 963:23	Pope 949:12 1193:8 1197:13	press 1113:9	project 1155:24
964:10	population 964:1	pretty 1194:13 1199:10 1203:7	projecting 1155:24
pirate 963:18,24 964:2	portion 971:6 portions 1117:23	price 964:9 965:2 967:11	promote
pirates 963:11,12 pitch 1099:4	position 952:13 959:23 968:15 1158:9 1193:23	1097:15,16 primarily 959:20	970:21,25 971:3 promotional 973:25
Pixies 1198:19 places 966:14	1194:2 1199:11,17	968:23 1092:10 primary 964:14	974:4,10,15,17,1 9 975:1,2 1099:21 1100:19
plan 972:7 1158:13	1200:8 positive 1107:7	967:8 971:16 1093:17 1094:8	1115:21
plans 1157:8	possibility 966:2	prior 1197:12	prong 960:11
platform 966:19	possible 962:15	private 1092:14	proposing 1097:6
968:7 play 959:9 1099:3,8	964:5 968:10 975:10 979:13,15	privilege 1094:1 privileged 1093:22 1094:14	provide 964:4 965:1 967:23 1200:16,18,22
1104:21 1108:20 1112:25 1114:20 1202:15	1099:18 1107:10 1112:12 1117:18 1118:14 1156:21	probably 1157:7 1198:11 1199:12	provided 957:16 964:6
played 974:25	1200:18	proceeding 973:3	provides 969:19
1102:5 1106:21 1113:17 1196:6	potential 975:12 1117:2	proceedings 1196:23	providing 962:24 pub 1199:4
playing 1102:4 1199:3	potentially 970:13 975:1	1240:5,7,10 processes 968:4	public 946:11 948:2 951:3 979:22 1092:1

	ragi	C 19	
1115:6 1118:24	quoted 955:22	967:22 970:4	964:9
1159:1 1193:1 1204:24 1240:13	quoting 1101:4	971:19 974:16,17	reducing 963:23
		1113:20 1194:4	refer 961:4 969:8
Publicly-available 1105:14	<u>R</u>	1200:21 1201:5	reference 969:11
PUBLISHING	Rachel 949:3	1202:16	referred 969:7
947:7	radio 947:21 948:2,6 965:23	reason 972:25 1101:16 1105:2	referring 969:9
pump 966:20	966:1,4,15,18,23		reflect 978:12
purchase 957:6,11	1104:23 1105:3	reasonable 1099:11	1105:15 1106:6
958:19,24 959:2	1106:10 1113:1 1115:8		reflective 975:24
966:25 971:8	1113:8	REC 950:2,6	regarding 950:19
purchasing	1202:9	recall 1097:9 1114:20	1116:18
966:23	ran 1113:14	received 956:17	region 1198:10
pure 958:17	range 1198:16	recently 957:8	regular 1198:9
purposes 966:14 967:10	rank 1101:20	960:25 971:17	REIN 947:13
	rate 955:18 975:23	1095:5 1197:25	948:10
Puyfontaine 1156:8	976:6 977:18,19	recognize 1114:24	reinvest 961:19
1150.0	979:7 1097:8	1196:19	relate 970:1
Q	1099:18 1101:1,3,9,11,14	recommendations	related 1103:15
quarter	1103:1 1106:6	1203:15	1116:1 1240:6
1157:24,25	1155:17	record 952:6	relative 1240:8
Queens 1198:19	rates 946:8 977:21	958:7 959:20 967:21 1193:25	relatively 964:10
question 957:19	978:1,4,11,15,23	1195:13 1197:20	relax 1201:13
970:23 978:21 1093:24	1097:6 1099:3,7,23	1199:8,23	release 961:22
1093.24	1100:21 1103:7	1240:4	966:22 1198:8,9
1096:21,22	1106:5	recorded 1094:20	relevant 1103:4,5
1098:20	1155:10,15	1095:14,22	relief 1096:6,8,25
1100:5,19 1101:5,7 1116:2	rather 958:9,19	recording 946:8 958:20 961:22	Religious 948:10
Questionnaire	Rdio 1109:15,23	971:24	reluctance 972:9
950:14	1203:8	1108:14,17,20,2	rely 967:24 968:23
questions 1092:8	Re 946:6	4 1112:17 1115:9	remain 977:12
1099:20 1107:13	reach 1105:18		remember 958:2
1108:4 1112:20	reading 1113:9	recordings 946:9 961:14	1100:6 1110:24
1115:6 1116:16 1117:1 1155:6	ready 961:22	1108:9,22	1116:3 1117:4
1158:19	realistic 975:11	1194:17 1197:24	1156:16 1157:22
Quick 957:19	realize 964:16	1198:12,13	remixer 1196:6
quite 958:16	realized 1196:11	records 957:7 1197:23,24,25	remote 957:15
959:19	really 958:1	1197.23,24,23	renegotiation 1096:5
1199:1,21,24 1203:24	959:25 962:16	RED 950:2,6	
1205.24	963:25 966:15	reduce 963:10	renew 971:23
L !		X 04400 705.10	

	rag	1	
reopened 1158:23	1112:25	Rushing 949:3	sees 973:12
repeat 970:23	return 961:13,16	Russo 949:15	segment 964:1
1094:4 1096:22	1104:15	1240:3	select 1109:15
1101:7 1194:23	revealing 954:8		1112:9 1201:8
repertoire 952:22	1096:15	S - 5 - 0 - 7 - 1 9	sell 958:4,9 973:14
1201:22,24	revenue	Safe 967:18	1113:5,20
rephrased 1096:24	960:5,12,15,16,2	sale 959:10 1115:15	senior
	1,24 961:2,12,24 962:9 963:6		952:14,19,20
replace 1106:19	968:7,13,20,25	sales 960:4,25	sense 970:19,24
reported 1114:19	969:24	961:1,2,18 963:1,2	971:11 1116:23
REPORTER	970:11,22	971:12,13,15,19	sensitive 1096:15
1240:1	971:20 972:1,3	974:7,9 1115:23	sentence 1104:13
Reporting 949:15	974:1,7 977:15,19	1116:6,12,22	1105:8,12
reports 1113:10	1104:17 1113:14	sample 971:6	1106:4 1108:7
representatives	1115:15	San 948:4	1112:24 1114:3 1115:7 1116:17
1093:5	revenues 962:1	satisfactory 972:5	
representing	963:4 965:21	save 1158:14	sentences 1104:14 1105:13 1116:18
951:16 1155:5	971:1 1105:16	saw 962:5 1199:3	SEPARATELY
1195:8	1113:20 1155:11		979:23 1118:25
reputation	review 954:5	scheduled 1093:14	1204:25
1199:24 1200:4	reviewed 1102:16	Schneider 949:11	serious 951:10
research 1118:2	Rhapsody	school 1199:3	servers 957:15
respect 1097:13	1109:15,21,23	Scott 949:11	
1098:22	1110:2	seated 951:6	service 957:16 959:6 964:21
response 1158:17	RICH 947:10	second 948:3	965:7,8,10,12,14
responsibilities	951:19 1193:2	960:11 961:1	,16,24 967:7,9
952:18 1092:9	rights 1195:19	976:25 1098:4	969:22 974:18
restricted 954:8	Rob 949:11	1104:13 1108:7	975:8,13,14
955:10	room 979:20	section 1098:12	976:1,9 978:1,23,24
956:12,22,24	1092:3 1199:4	1204:20	979:6,9,11,12
962:18 977:4 979:17,23	Rose 949:2 951:16	sector 1195:7,9	1099:22,24
1115:6	Rough 1197:24	1199:14,25 1200:5	1100:20,22
1118:19,25	roughly 1155:16		1103:10,13
1158:21	1198:5	seem 964:10	1108:13,16 1109:2,5,6,8,14
1204:19,25	royalties 965:24	seems 972:20	1110:23
restrictions	Royalty	seen 953:16 957:9	1111:20,22,24
964:15	946:2,7,20	966:18 971:12	1112:4,14
restrictive 1098:3	Rs 953:9,10,13	974:4,6,8 977:6,10	1113:23,24
result 1106:5	rulings 1197:12	1102:20 1113:17	1114:11,21 1115:2 1118:3
retailers 958:3		1200:11 1201:1	1194:24 1201:2
reticent 1104:21	running 1113:15	1202:2 1204:4,7	1202:16,19,20

	ı ag		
1203:5 1204:9	1194:11	sir 1097:24	1101:4,6 1104:5
services 952:22	several 963:16	SiriusXM 947:21	1108:6 1109:21
959:17 960:17	Shakes 1198:19	949:4 1193:10	1115:4
962:14,15		situation 968:6	sort 965:24
963:13,17,19	share 1094:20 1095:9	978:21 1107:6	1113:10 1202:8
964:9,13,16,18,1		six 1092:15,16	so-to-speak
9 967:13 968:15	shift 957:9 959:16	1112:1 1194:10	959:11
970:5,6,9,15,16,	962:13 963:2		sound 946:9
20,21,25 971:3,19	1116:7	size 1194:20	961:14 1112:16
971.3,19	shifts 963:9	skyrocket 974:5	1194:16
974:4,8,11	ship 1193:15	Slacker 950:18,19	1198:7,13
975:2,10,15	shop 1201:9	1096:6	SoundExchange
976:20	shorthand 978:9	Sliding 1103:18	947:2 949:1
977:7,11,21	showed 1116:20	small 1197:22	950:11 951:16
979:6,14 1094:17	shuffle 1110:23	smaller 1198:21	952:3 953:23 1092:19 1093:14
1094.17	1111:9,21,24	smallest 1198:17	1092.19 1093.14
1097:13 1098:23	1112:4,5,8		1193:17
1099:1,4	shuffled 1112:11	social 968:24	1196:18,23
1101:21		sold 958:1,15	1197:9,15,17
1104:15,17,19,2 1 1105:8,15,18	sign 976:6 1114:9 1198:22 1204:22	someone 976:11	SoundExhange
1103.8,13,18		somewhat 962:3	956:13
1108:3,8	signatory 1158:24	1117:16	sounds 1198:11
1112:20,24	signature 1197:3	somewhere	soundtrack
1113:5	signed 979:20	1102:13 1198:10	1201:10,18
1115:13,14	1118:22 1196:7	S-O-N 953:6	source 961:12
1116:8,19	1198:2,5	song 958:5 974:23	1105:23
1117:11,12,17,2 2,23 1195:23	significant 960:24	1110:4,18	sources 971:1
1200:9,13,15,17	1194:20	SongPop 974:21	973:25
1201:6	signing 961:20		South 947:4
1202:3,6,7,9,24	SIMON 950:7	songs 958:18 974:24 976:13	
1203:7,17,25	simulcast	1111:18	space 1106:23
1204:3,8	1101:22,25	1112:1,5,6,10	SPALDING 948:2
session 946:11	1102:3 1106:24	Songza 1202:13	speak 958:12
951:3 979:22,23	simulcasters	Sony 1093:5,7	962:10
1092:1 1099:24 1118:24,25	1105:3,9,22	1095:2,13	speaking 970:20
1116.24,25	simulcasting	1097:12 1098:22	1156:17
1193:1	1103:25 1104:3	Sony's 1095:9	specific 1116:20
1204:24,25	1106:10	sophisticated	1157:20
sets 1103:9	simulcasts	1200:17 1201:7	specifics 1096:13
setting 1200:2	1106:18	1203:15 1204:2	1099:2
settlement	single 958:16	sorry 951:7 953:1	spelling 953:3
1094:15	singles 957:22,24	958:4 962:20	spending 961:21
	958:4,10	970:23 1092:2	spent 963:15
seven 1092:22			

	1 48		
Sperle 949:9	stereo 957:13	959:10,14	1203:12
Spotify 962:16	Steve 951:21	961:3,9 962:8	substitute 1203:11
976:12,21,22	sticky 1202:8	963:9 964:8 965:5,20 967:1	substitution
1109:3,6,8	Stone 1198:20	969:5,13,15	975:20,25
1110:21,22		972:1,9,15	977:23 978:13
1111:2,13,21	stop 964:3 976:24	972:1,9,13	1117:2
1203:8	storage 957:17	975:17,23	substitutional
Square 947:7	store 959:1,3,4	976:7,24	974:11 975:5
stabilize 965:21	964:17 976:13	977:2,17 978:3,8	1099:22
		1106:15 1107:2	1101:18,21
stabilized 962:3,9	stores 1097:16	1116:25 1201:21	1102:1,7,10
stands 968:13	strategic 1158:13	strong 967:19	1115:22 1116:18
start 965:18	strategy 968:16	struck 972:17	1117:10,11
1104:13 1108:1	977:13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	substitutionally
1155:8	1093:19,24	structure 952:20	1100:20
1200:2,12	1094:10	1194:5	success 968:14
started 960:19	stream 960:21,25	studio 1196:6	969:4
971:16 1202:24	1201:4,11	stuff 1155:7	successful 960:9
starting 1104:12	1203:3	Sturm 949:13	1199:13,16
state 952:5	streaming 959:6	950:4 979:1	sufficient
statement 950:14	960:17,20	1092:4 1093:23	1104:16,19
977:24 978:16	961:4,7,12,15	1094:6 1096:19	·
1106:17 1113:4	967:6	1097:24	sufficiently 971:22
	969:10,17,22	1098:5,8,10,15	suggest 1108:13
statements	970:10,20,25	1100:14,17	1114:2 1115:7
1105:14	971:2,19	1107:3,12,16	suggesting
States 946:2	973:6,24	1112:19,23	1115:22
954:22	974:3,5,8,11	subbing 1193:9	
955:2,19,23	976:20 1101:21	subject 1093:17	suggestions 1097:1
1204:9,14	1104:19,21 1105:7,14	1094:8,12	
static 977:12	1103:7,14	,	Suite 947:7,19
station 1110:13,14	1115:12,14	subjects 1094:14	948:4,15
statistics 966:3	1116:8	submitted 956:16	summary 1100:2
	1155:11,20,25	subscribe 1114:16	support 962:14
statutorily	1156:1 1157:2	1118:3	968:16 970:15
1094:16	1158:2,10	subscriber 969:2	979:12 1113:8
statutory 973:1	1200:9,13		1204:6
1106:5	Street 947:14,18	subscription	supporter 1195:6
1112:14,20	948:3,8,11,15	962:14	^^
1116:11,21		964:16,19,24	supportive
1117:10,15,22	STRICKLAND	965:12,18	1199:22
1155:16	978:18	966:17 967:12 1113:23	sure 959:24
STEINTHAL	Strickler 946:22	1113:23	967:15 977:2
948:3	951:8,10	1114:3,10	1096:23 1098:4
	955:15,21		1100:9 1110:8
step 1109:22	956:15,21	subscription-	1113:12
	957:19 958:7,14	based 965:7	

	rag		
surpassed 960:25	tend 1118:13	965:9 966:4,9	1158:20
Sustained 979:3	Tennessee 947:8	970:12 972:6,12	thumbs
Suzanne 946:21	ten-second 974:22	973:20 976:17 978:2,17	1103:4,5,11
949:13	term 1106:6	1092:20 1093:16	Thursday 946:14
sway 1099:13	terminology	1094:12	tier 964:23 1109:5
switch 954:19	1111:2	1095:13,23,24	1110:21
1104:5 1106:21	terms 946:8 966:1	1097:4,22 1099:17 1100:4	1111:3,5,8
switched 954:20	975:4	1101:12	title 1110:18
sworn 951:25	978:6,11,12,14	1102:5,7,14	1194:4
	terrestrial 965:23	1103:5,17,22	today 1108:3
T	966:1,5,15,17,18	1105:11,23	Todd 947:17
tab 953:23	,23 1102:5	1106:24 1108:19 1109:7,10,13,17,	949:9 1107:25
1097:17	1104:22 1105:3 1106:10,20	20	TOLLES 947:3
table 951:21 955:5	1107:1 1113:1	1110:6,16,18,19	Toof 949:5
takedown 968:4	1118:7,15	1111:4,5,15,19	1193:9,16 1197:14
taking 970:13	testified 952:1	1112:7,12,13	
talk 965:5 966:21	1093:10 1095:8	1113:11 1114:12 1116:9,15	top 972:24
1094:15 1096:3	1096:5 1102:22	1117:13,14	total 1198:13
1105:7 1111:22	testifying 1201:15	1118:15	touched 978:20
talked 1108:2	testimony 950:12	1155:13,18	toward 979:13
1110:21 1157:3	954:1,3 955:13	1158:6 1200:10	towards 960:4
talking 965:6	956:4,8,22,24	1202:9,20 1203:23	962:12 1201:7
967:12 973:2	957:2 1094:19 1095:5 1100:3	theoretically	track 958:25
976:8 1104:2	1104:8 1108:6	1106:14,16,22	959:2
1105:9 1111:17 1157:11,16	1110:20 1112:22	therefore 976:12	1109:1,3,24
1202:8,10	1114:2,14,24		1110:9
1203:24	1117:19 1157:19	there's 963:25 971:7 1108:7	tracks 1106:21,22
target 972:8	1196:16,22,24 1197:6 1203:10	1198:4 1200:19	1110:10,14
targeted 1200:22		1201:4,7	trade 1195:3,12
1203:19	Thank 953:8,15 955:9 959:14	they're	1197:24 1200:2
technical 1195:22	961:9 967:1	955:8,10,20,22,2	traditional 953:4
technologically	973:21 978:8,18	3 965:10 968:25	transcript
1107:10	1094:18 1098:14	969:2 970:10	1097:23 1156:19
technology 968:22	1107:2,14,15,20 1111:11	971:3,4 973:13 1108:23	1240:4
1106:23	1111:11	1113:19,20	transition 961:25 970:2
telecommunicatio	1195:3,13	1200:25 1202:5	
ns 969:1	thanks 1155:6	1203:1,17	transmit 1108:8
telephone	that's 955:1	They've 962:3	trend 973:23 974:2
1093:15,18	957:12 959:13	third 1105:8	
1094:9	960:12 961:20	Thorne 949:11	Tres 949:11
ten 952:12 1092:6	963:14	950:5 1155:3,4	trial 964:22
	964:14,22,23		965:13,18

	rag		
1196:18 1197:10	unique 1199:10	usage 979:13	vinyl 957:7
true 954:6 955:13	unit 970:10	1204:9,10	Vivendi 972:7
956:4 1095:24	United 946:2	user 960:13,15	973:12 1156:5
1117:14 1157:25	954:21	963:24 967:20	1157:15 1158:1
1197:6 1240:4	955:2,19,23	968:13,25 969:19 970:6,11	Vivendi's
truly 1097:25	1204:8,14	1104:17 1108:16	1156:7,11
truth 951:25 952:1	units 959:21,25	1109:3,11,14,23	Volkmar 949:14
try 964:21	960:14	1110:7,9,12,13,1	volume 946:8
965:14,21,22	970:8,9,13,14	7 1111:24	970:9
968:9 972:10 977:14 1113:18	Universal 951:18	1112:5,9 1113:21	·
	952:9,10,23,24 953:21 958:11	1203:16,18	<u>W</u>
trying 962:13 963:16,19 973:4	960:20 968:19	users 960:7	wait 979:21 1118:23 1158:25
1098:1 1113:19	969:20,23	964:3,5,20 966:7	
1115:5 1200:17	977:20 978:10	967:24,25 970:7	waiting 951:8
1202:5	1092:6,10,14,18,	978:24	waived 1094:1
Tuesday 1093:10	25 1097:12 1098:21 1099:23	1108:9,13	Walker 1093:6
tuned 1203:16	1100:21 1104:16	1109:21 1110:2 1111:17 1113:22	Warner 1093:3
Turks 1197:25	1155:10	1114:4,9,15	1095:16 1097:13
turn 966:9	1158:9,12	1117:24 1118:2	1098:22
	Universal's	1200:17,21,23	Washington
type 1202:9,25 1203:9	960:23 961:13	user's 957:17	946:4,13 947:15,19
1203.9	970:25 977:22 978:12,21		947.13,19
types 1201:13	1094:20	V	wasn't 958:11,16
typical 1198:8	unless 974:18	vacuum 975:7	960:22 962:19
typical 1170.0	unlicensed 963:20	value 965:1	1101:4 1158:1
U		978:4,9,10	ways 953:16
U.K 1195:12,19	unmanned 1193:15	1104:16	962:23 963:20
1200:3		Vampire 1198:18	964:20
U.S 966:3 968:19	unprofitable 1096:10	Van 1093:9	965:9,20,22 966:4
1094:20	unrestrict 1098:7	various 952:21,24	
1095:13,22		977:11 1118:10	Web 946:9
1200:3	upgrade 1203:21	version 1111:13	webcaster 1117:16
UMG 1156:4	upload 967:24	Viacom 967:20	
UMG's 970:21	uploading 967:20	vice 952:14,19,20	webcasting 1106:7 1108:8 1112:24
understand	968:1	Video 1195:17	1116:11,19,21
1102:3 1156:7	upsell 964:23	video-playing	1117:10 1203:11
understanding	965:11 1113:23	967:9	week 1093:14
977:22 978:12 1156:25	upstairs 1199:4	videos 1195:19	Weekend 1198:18
	Uruguay	view 964:11	weekly 1094:8
unfortunately 1196:11	954:21,24 955:16,18,23	1118:13	1095:20
1170.11	955:16,18,23	Vincent 1156:11	weeks 1156:18

	* "B	C	
WEIL 947:10	Williams 949:11	xx 1198:19	
we'll 955:2	willing 965:2		
Wells 949:11	979:7 1098:6	Y + 0.40.0	
we're 960:2,6,14 961:17	1099:23 1100:21 1114:16 1115:1	Yolkut 949:9 York 947:11,23	
963:4,6,25 964:3 967:22 968:5 972:6 973:2 975:11 976:8 977:13 1098:6 1111:2 1197:22 Wetzel 949:7 we've 960:13 963:1,15,22 964:12 974:8 977:6,10 1113:16 1197:25 1199:11 1204:7 whatever 1201:12 Wheeler 950:7,14 1193:19 1197:20 1200:7 1203:10	witness 951:17,19 953:5,7,12,16 955:25 957:23 958:11,22 959:13 961:7 962:11 963:14 964:12 965:9,25 969:11,14,18 972:6,12,22 973:11,20 975:22 976:4,17 977:1,5,25 978:6,17 1093:2 1094:4 1106:18 1193:4 1194:25 1201:23 witnesses 972:17 won 967:19	young 1197:25 YouTube 967:4,6,7,17 968:3,6 you've 1092:5,21 1104:1 1116:10	
whenever 962:24	wondered 1193:14		
whereas 959:5	work 952:8		
wherever 962:25 whether 957:12	1195:13,24 1198:16 1201:12 1203:1		
958:25 961:20 964:22,23 968:8 970:19,24 979:7 1099:21 1103:4	worked 952:10 1194:15 1195:15 1196:4		
1113:13 1117:1 1157:2	working 964:4 1201:9		
whoever 1157:5 whole 952:1 959:6 961:8 962:5	world 960:2 968:18,22 969:3 1199:19,20		
975:6 979:10 1204:15	written 950:14 957:1 1094:19		
Wilcox 1093:2	1104:7 1193:20 1196:16 1197:5		
WILEY 947:13 948:10	wrote 1100:1		
WILKINSON 948:14	1105:12 1116:17		
WILLIAM 948:7	X Xbox 1203:8		